

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**

Appeal No. 6921 of 2026

Ayaz : Appellant

Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated June 01, 2026 (received by SEBI through RTI MIS portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated June 08, 2026 responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal dated June 09, 2026 (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/26/00213). I have perused the application, the response of the respondent and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.

2. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in his application dated June 01, 2026, sought the following information:

“I seek information under the Right to Information Act 2005 regarding PACL Certificate No. U00717xxxxxx in the name of SMT TABSSUM PAN ARKPBxxxxxxx. The PACL refund portal shows the status Land has been allotted as per the data provided by PACL. Kindly provide the following information.

1. Copy of the allotment letter if any issued in respect of PACL Certificate No. U0071xxxxxx.

2. Details of the land allegedly allotted including Plot Number Khasra Number Village Tehsil District and State.

3. Date of allotment of the said land.

4. Copies of records showing acceptance of allotment by the investor.

5. Copies of records showing delivery of possession of the land to the investor.

6. *Copies of all records documents data correspondence or information received from PACL Ltd on the basis of which the claim was marked as Land has been allotted as per the data provided by PACL.*
 7. *Kindly inform whether the investor is presently categorized as eligible or ineligible for refund and provide the reasons recorded on file.*
 8. *Kindly inform whether any review or correction mechanism exists for investors who never received any allotment letter plot details registry sale deed possession letter or physical possession of land despite PACL records showing land allotment.*
 9. *Kindly provide the name and designation of the authority responsible for deciding such cases. The information may kindly be provided in electronic form by email wherever possible.”*
3. **Reply of the Respondent** – The respondent, in response to the application, informed that all communication regarding refund status is handled by the Justice (Retd.) R.M. Lodha Committee. The respondent also advised the appellant to approach the Justice (Retd.) R.M. Lodha Committee. Notwithstanding the aforesaid, the respondent informed that the details of PACL Matters- Public Notices, Press Releases, Status Report and FAQs etc. are available on SEBI website.
 4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he was provided incomplete, misleading or false information.
 5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. On consideration, I note that from the reply of the respondent, it is not clear whether the requested information is available with SEBI or not. Accordingly, I find that the queries have not been adequately addressed.
 6. Considering the above observations, I remit the application to the respondent for *de novo* consideration of application and sending appropriate response to the appellant in terms of RTI Act, within 15 working days from the date of receipt of this order.
 7. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Place: Mumbai
Date: July 02, 2026

RUCHI CHOJER
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA