

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

REVIEW PETITION (C) No.10626/2026

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) Diary No.4988/2026

**NAPCO BEVEL GEAR OF
INDIA LIMITED**

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Petitioner in Special Leave Petition (C) Diary No.4988/2026 seeks review of the order of dismissal thereof dated 17th July, 2026, on the grounds urged in the review petition.
2. Application for listing the review petition in open Court is rejected.
3. Application seeking permission to file lengthy list of dates is rejected.
4. Application seeking permission to place on record subsequent facts is rejected.
5. The applications referred to above are sufficient to deny a

review on merits. Nonetheless, we have perused the review petition and considered the grounds urged in support of the prayer for review.

6. We are of the considered opinion that no case for review has been set up.

7. The review petition is, therefore, dismissed.

8. Other pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

.....J.
[DIPANKAR DATTA]

.....J.
[SHEEL NAGU]

**New Delhi;
October 06, 2026.**

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

R.P.(C) No.10626/2026 in S.L.P.(C) Diary No.4988/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-07-2026 in D No.4988/2026 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

NAPCO BEVEL GEAR OF INDIA LIMITED

Petitioner

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondents

FOR ADMISSION

I.A. No.243924/2026-APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT

I.A. No.243919/2026-PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES

I.A. No.243933/2026-PERMISSION TO PLACE ON RECORD SUBSEQUENT FACTS

Date : 06-10-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Application for listing the review petition in open Court is rejected.
2. Application seeking permission to file lengthy list of dates is rejected.
3. Application seeking permission to place on record subsequent facts is rejected.
4. The review petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(MANIK KUMAR)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(POOJA KOHLI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(signed order is placed on the file)