

THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI
Interlocutory Application No.4659/2026
Un-numbered Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. /2026
(F.No.22.05.2026/NCLAT/UR/05555)

In the matter of:

SVSJ Developers Private Limited

.... Appellant

Vs.

Rahul Jindal Resolution Professional Samar Estate Private
Limited

..... Respondents

Appearance: Raghav Dembla, Advocate for Applicant

13th July, 2026
(Hybrid Mode)

This is an application to extend the time granted for curing the defects.

The facts giving rise to present IA (re-filing) are that the Appellant e-filed the Memo of Appeal on 20.05.2026. The Office after scrutiny of the Memo of Appeal on 22.05.2026, intimated the defects to the Appellant on the same day. The Appellant re-filed the Appeal on 07.07.2026. It is stated in the IA significant amount of time was consumed in getting the defects cleared. Meanwhile, the counsel for Appellant was required to travel outside Delhi on 13.06.2026 due to personal exigency. The counsel for Appellant returned to Delhi on 21.06.2026 and immediately took steps to cure the defects. It is stated that the Appellant refiled the Appeal without an application seeking condonation of delay in re-filing the Appeal. Accordingly, the counsel for Appellant took steps to prepare the application and file the same on 02.07.2026. The Delay of 38 days caused in re-filing the aforesaid appeal after removal of defect is neither intentional nor deliberate, hence the same may be condoned.

Heard Ld. Counsel for Applicant and perused the averments made in the IA as well as Office report.

The Appellant was required to re-file the Memo of Appeal within seven days from the date of intimation of the defects. However, the Appellant re-filed the Memo of Appeal with a delay of 39 days. Hence, the case be placed before the Hon'ble Bench with defect for appropriate orders.

List the case before the Hon'ble Bench under the heading 'for admission with defect (fresh case)'.

(Raj Kumar)
Registrar