

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 4476/2026

V NAGARAJAN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

ICICI BANK LIMITED

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 19-05-2026 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. R Subramanian, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Siddhartha Sinha, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Heard Mr. R.Subramanian, learned counsel appearing for the appellant.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the appellant who is a Resolution Professional filed CA/17/18 under Sections 43 and 45 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 to set aside certain transactions. During the course of the proceedings, an application bearing MA No.68 of 2018 was filed in CA/17/2018 to amend the pleadings. Amendment was allowed with consequential directions to file the amended petition on record. There

was default in filing the amended petition. Thereafter, after 495 days MA/46/2020 was filed to condone the delay in filing the amended petition. The condonation of delay petition was dismissed, as it was grossly delayed.

3. However, learned counsel drawing attention to the order of the National Company Law Tribunal at page 80 (pdf 94) submits that not only the delay was not condoned and the amendment not taken on record, the original petition also has been dismissed.

4. Learned counsel submits that this could not have been done. Alternatively, learned counsel contends that there was a good case to condone the delay and take the amended petition on record.

5. To consider both the aspects, issue notice, returnable on 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2026.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)  
AR-CUM-PS

(MANOJ KUMAR)  
COURT MASTER