

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 222 OF 2016**

Shri. Prabhakar Digamber Bhumkar ...Applicant

*Versus*

Smt. Shiromani Parbatsingh

Chandale Since Deceased Thr Lrs.

...Respondents

**WITH  
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1666 OF 2026  
IN**

**CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 222 OF 2026**

Smt. Shiromani Parbatsingh Chandale

Since Deceased Thr Lrs Arvind Parbatsingh Chandele

...Applicant

*Versus.*

Prabhakar Digamber Bhumkar

...Respondent

-----

Mr. Anand Kulkarni a/w Amol Kanaki, Advocate for the Applicant.

Prabhakar Bhumkar, Applicant – Party in person, is present.

Mr. Ashok Tajane (through VC) a/w Narayan Dhavale, Advocate for the Respondent.

Arvind Chandele, Respondent -Party in person, present.

-----

**CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.**

**DATE : 16<sup>th</sup> October, 2026.**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard, Mr. Anand Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. Ashok Tajane, learned Advocate for the Respondents. They submit that the parties have amicably resolved the subject matter of this Revision Application and have entered into Consent Terms dated 15.10.2026. They therefore request that the Consent Terms, along with the undertaking given by the Applicant, be taken on record and that this Application be disposed of by consent of the parties.

2. Mr. Anand Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant, states that the Applicant (Prabhakar Digamber Bhumkar) is present in Court and that he identifies the Applicant.

3. Mr. Ashok Tajane, learned Advocate for the Respondents, states that Respondent (a), i.e. Arvind Prabhatsing Chande, is present in Court and that he identifies Respondent.

4. Mr. Ashok Tajane, learned Advocate for the Respondent, states that Respondent (a)-Arvind Prabhatsing Chande, is the Constituted Attorney of Respondent Nos. b, C-1, and d. He further states that Respondent (a) has the requisite authority, as Constituted Attorney, to appear in this Application, make statements on behalf of the other Respondents and file consent terms. He further states that Respondent No. 1(a) has been appointed as attorney under the General Power of Attorney dated 13.10.2026, executed by Respondents Nos. b, C-1, and d before the Notary Shakil ChandSab Nadaf.

5. Mr. Anand Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. Ashok Tajane, learned Advocate for the Respondents, tender the Consent Terms dated 15.10.2026, which are taken on record and marked with “X” for identification. They also tender the Undertaking

dated 14.10.2026 on behalf of the Applicant, which is taken on record and marked with “X-1” for identification, as well as the original Power of Attorney dated 13.10.2026, executed by Respondent Nos. b, C-1 and d in favour of Respondent (a), which is taken on record and marked with “X-2” for identification.

6. Prabhakar Bhumkar, i.e. the Applicant and Arvind Chande, i.e. the Respondent, state that they filed the Consent Terms (X) of their own free will. They state that their learned Advocates have explained the contents of the Consent Terms (X) and they have also signed the said Consent Terms (X). They state that the contents of the Consent Terms (X) are as per their say. They identify their respective signatures on the Consent Terms (X).

7. Applicant (Prabhakar Bhumkar) states that the undertaking (X-1) was read over and explained to him by his Advocate Mr. Anand Kulkarni. He states that the contents of the undertaking (X-1) are as per his statement and that he has filed it of his own free will, without any pressure or force from any person. He identifies his signature on the said undertaking (X-1).

8. Perused the Consent Terms dated 15.10.2026 (X). They do not appear to be against public policy.

9. In view of the above and at the request of Mr. Anand Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. Ashok Tajane, learned Advocate for the Respondents, the Consent Terms dated 15.10.2026 (X) are accepted and this Revision Application is disposed of in accordance with the Consent Terms dated 15.10.2026 (X).

10. The undertaking of Arvind Chandele (X-1) is accepted.

11. The parties to act on the basis of the Consent Terms (X).

12. In view of the above, Civil Revision Application No. 222 of 2016 is disposed of.

13. Mr. Anand Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. Ashok Tajane, learned Advocate for the Respondents, submit that in view of the disposal of the Civil Revision Application No. 222 of 2016, nothing further survives in Interim Application No. 1666 of 2026. They request that the same be disposed of as infructuous. In view of the disposal of the Civil Revision Application No. 222 of 2016, nothing further survives in Interim Application No. 1666 of 2026 and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)