



CGHC010012122017



2026:CGHC:42997

ASHOK
SAHU

NAFR

Digitally signed
by ASHOK
SAHU
Date:
2026.10.05
15:55:02 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**SA No. 357 of 2017**

Bhagwan Shivrinarayan Math Mandir, Nyas Shivrinarayan, Through
Managing Trustee And Sarwarakar Rajeshri Mahant Ramsundar, Aged
About 45 Years, Rajeshri Mahant Vaishnav Das, Shivrinarayan Manth
Shivrinarayan, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

.....Plaintiff
Appellant

versus

1– Uditnarayan, S/o. Lambhusao, Aged About 60 Years, R/o.
Shivrinarayan, Tahsil Nawagarh, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.

2 - State Of Chhattisgarh, Through District Collector, Janjgir, District
Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

.....Defendants
Respondents

For Appellant	: Mr. H.B.Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Ms. Swati Agrawal, Advocate
For Respondent No.1	: Mr. Sunil Sahu, Advocate
For Respondent No.2	: Mr. Shubha Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer

(Single Bench)**Hon'ble Smt. Justice Sushma Sawant****Order on Board**

05.10.2026

1. This second appeal has been preferred against the impugned judgment dated 24.04.2017 passed by the learned Second Additional District Judge, Janjgir-Champa in Civil Appeal No.05-A/2016, arising out of the judgment and decree dated 10.07.2015 passed by the learned Trial Court in Civil Suit No.9-A/2008 in between *Bhagwan Shivrinarayan v. Uditnarayan & Another*.
2. During the pendency of the present appeal, the parties have amicably settled their dispute. A written compromise/agreement dated 25.09.2026 has been executed between the parties and duly notarised. The terms thereof have been placed on record.
3. As per the compromise, the parties have settled all disputes arising out of the earlier tenancy in respect of the disputed premises. The respondent/Trust has acknowledged receipt of the agreed amount towards the outstanding rent up to August, 2026, and has agreed that no further amount remains payable towards the old tenancy. The appellant has also agreed to vacate/hand over the premises in terms of the settlement.
4. Learned counsel for the parties submit that the compromise has been entered into voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence, and pray that the appeal be disposed of in terms of the compromise.

5. Having considered the compromise/agreement placed on record and the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, this Court finds that the dispute between the parties has been amicably resolved. In view of the settlement, no issue survives for adjudication in the present second appeal.
6. Accordingly, the second appeal is disposed of in terms of the compromise/agreement dated 25.09.2026. The parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of the compromise/agreement dated 25.09.2026, which shall form part of the record. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(Sushma Sawant)
Judge

Ashok