

**IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL: NEW DELHI**  
**PRINCIPAL BENCH**

**ITEM No. 124**  
**(IB)-1416(ND)/ 2018**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

M/s Ridhi Sidhi

.... Petitioner

Vs.

R.K. Silk Mills (India) Ltd

.... Respondent

**Order under Section 9 of Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016 Liq.**

**Order dated 13.05.2026**

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**  
**HON'BLE PRESIDENT**

**SHRI RAVINDRA CHATURVEDI**  
**HON'BLE MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

**HYBRID HEARING (PHYSICAL & VC)**

**PRESENT:**

For the Applicant : Mr. Gourav Asati, Advocate

**ORDER**

**RA-114/2025**

1. This is an application of the restoration of the Contempt Petition No. 03/2025. Mr. Gourav Asati, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the Applicant submits that the non-appearance of the counsel was bona-fide as he was arguing in a matter before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.
2. Heard. The applicant had filed a Contempt Petition wherein notice had been issued. However, the counsel for the applicant had not put in appearance on 16.09.2025 and the matter was adjourned to 19.11.2025. On 19.11.2025, a request was made that the arguing counsel is not available. This Court had dismissed the Contempt Petition after observing that the applicant has not shown seriousness in prosecuting the matter.
3. After hearing the counsel for the applicant, we are of the considered view that as the Contempt Petition had been dismissed on account of

**(IB)-1416(ND)/ 2018**

M/s Ridhi Sidhi  
Vs.  
R.K. Silk Mills (India) Ltd

non-appearance of the counsel on two dates, we do not find any good ground to restore the Contempt Petition.

4. Accordingly, the **RA-114/2025** stands **dismissed**.
5. It is, however, clarified that the applicant would be at liberty to avail any alternate remedy in accordance with law.

*-Sd/-*  
**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**PRESIDENT**

*-Sd/-*  
**(RAVINDRA CHATURVEDI)**  
**MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

Fatima/13.05.2026