

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION (C) Nos. _____/2026
Diary No.23447/2026**

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) Nos.8075-8076/2026

**THE PARTNER, SPACO CORPORATION
THROUGH ITS SHRI N. N. GURAV**

PETITIONER

VERSUS

NIRANI SUGARS LIMITED & ANR.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Petitioner in Special Leave Petition (C) Nos.8075-8076/2026 seeks review of the order dated 12th March, 2026 on the grounds urged in the review petitions.
2. Delay condoned.
3. We have perused the review petitions and considered the grounds urged in support of the prayer for review.
4. Application, for oral hearing, is rejected.
5. We are of the considered opinion that no case for review has

been set up.

6. The review petitions are, therefore, dismissed.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[DIPANKAR DATTA]

.....J.
[SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA]

**New Delhi;
September 01, 2026.**

ITEM NO.1001

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No.23447/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 12-03-2026 in SLP(C) No.8075/2026 & 12-03-2026 in SLP(C) No.8076/2026 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

**THE PARTNER, SPACO CORPORATION
THROUGH ITS SHRI N. N. GURAV**

Petitioner

VERSUS

NIRANI SUGARS LIMITED & ANR.

Respondents

**I.A. No.118568/2026-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION
I.A. No.118569/2026-ORAL HEARING**

Date : 01-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA**

By Circulation

**UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R**

1. Delay condoned.
2. Application, for oral hearing, is rejected.
3. The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(MANIK KUMAR)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT**

(signed order is placed on the file)

**(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)**