

ITEM NO.16

COURT NO.6

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 42546/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-07-2026 in WP No. 8431/2024 and WP No. 8446/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad]

PATHAN BANEKHA NOORKHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAULANA MOHAMMAD ALI JOHAR EDUCATION SOCIETY & ORS.Respondent(s)

IA No. 251036/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS

IA No. 251033/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 251034/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 251035/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 22-08-2026 These matters were called for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kunal Cheema, AoR
Mr. Vilas Giri, Adv.
Mr. Shubham Chandankhede, Adv.
Mr. Raghav Deshpande, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Sudhanshu Choudhary, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Yash Singhanian, Adv.
Mr. Deshmukh Adith Satish, AoR

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Sudhanshu Choudhary, learned Senior Counsel for respondent No. 1, who has

appeared on caveat.

2. Delay condoned.

3. Having considered the matter in its entirety and going through the material on record, we do not find any infirmity in the order impugned warranting interference by this Court.

4. Accordingly, the Special Leave Petitions stand dismissed.

5. After the order was dictated, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a new G.R. has been issued, which directly deals with the issue involved in the present petitions. However, since the same was issued a day prior to the passing of the impugned order, it could not, due to inadvertence, be brought to the notice of the High Court.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that future recruitment may not be governed in terms of the order impugned.

7. We do not find any need for the same, as the order passed is confined to the recruitment process in question. Any future recruitment, as a matter of course, has to be in accordance with the law prevailing at the relevant point of time.

(SACHIN KUMAR SRIVASTAVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR