

ITEM NO.21

REGISTRAR COURT. 1

SECTION IV-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. APOORV SINGH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 6545/2026

MS LOGICAL DEVELOPERS PVT. LTD. & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PIENNE INDUSTRIAL CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS. Respondent(s)

Date : 04-08-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Preetika Dwivedi, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Mukul Kumar, AOR

Ms. Apoorva Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Respondent no.1 has already filed counter affidavit.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has also filed rejoinder affidavit.

Service was complete on respondent nos. 2 and 4 through dasti but none has entered appearance.

As per office report, respondent no.2 has received dasti notice on behalf of respondent no.3 but the same is defective as relationship has not been mentioned. Hence this cannot be considered as proper service as the notice has not been served

Contd...

Item no.21

personally in terms of Order LIII Rule 3 of Supreme Court Rules. Hence, ld. Counsel for the petitioner is granted two weeks time as last opportunity to file affidavit alongwith proof of dasti service after serving the said respondent personally. Ld. Counsel also to take fresh steps and file fresh particulars within the same time.

Service was complete on respondent nos. 5 and 8 in February, 2026 but none has entered appearance.

As per office report, ld. Counsel for the petitioner has also filed an application seeking substituted service on respondent nos. 6 and 7 through Ld. Additional Civil Judge, Gurugram. Allowed. Ld. Counsel to file spare copies within one week for issuance of notices.

List again on 10.09.2026.

Copy of this Record of proceeding be furnished to the concerned ld. Advocate-on-record for information and compliance.

APOORV SINGH
Registrar

HJ