

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.7

SECTION III-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s)(Civil) No(s).3147/2024

DIMPAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NISHANT PRAVINBHAI SONI

Respondent(s)

[MEDIATION REPORT RECEIVED].....[PART HEARD BY: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA AND HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN]

IA No. 268606/2024 - EX-PARTE STAY

IA No. 19977/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 268608/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 13-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Siddharth S. Chapalgaonkar, Adv.  
Mr. Parag R. Malwadkar, Adv.  
Ms. Sneha Sanjay Botwe, Adv.  
Mr. Akash Tripathi, Adv.  
Mr. Nitesh Ranjan, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Vikas Mehta, AOR  
Ms. Nitika Grover, Adv.  
Ms. Ridhi Kapoor, Adv.  
Mr. Ayush Gaur, Adv.  
Mr. Varun Prashar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. By our order dated 21.04.2026, we had directed the Superintendent of Police to undertake the necessary inquiry as regards the nature of employment of the respondent-husband and

file an appropriate report in that regard.

2. In pursuance of our order referred to above, the Superintendent of Police, West Kutch - Bhuj, has forwarded his report dated 08.05.2026 stating that the respondent is residing at B-15, RT0 Relocation Site, Bhuj, District Kutch. He is associated with a Company named Rishad Shipping and Clearing Agency Pvt. Ltd. past 4-5 years on a lump-sum remuneration basis. He is not a regular salaried employee and has not been issued any formal appointment letter.

3. It appears that the respondent is engaged in customs clearance work related to shipments.

4. We are of the view that the husband should pay a lump-sum amount to his wife and his minor daughter. This amount should be appropriate to take care of his wife and the education and other expenses that the mother may incur in the upbringing of the daughter. Rs.15,00,000/- offered by the husband is not acceptable.

5. We grant some more time to the parties to talk and reach to some amicable settlement.

6. Post the matter on 21.07.2026.

7. In the meantime, the respondent-husband shall continue to pay Rs.25,000/- every month to his wife.

(HARPREET KAUR)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)