

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (C) No. 7927 of 2026

Kanchan Enterprises, having its registered office at Bihra, Panchayat-Ratanpur, P.S.-Panki, District-Palamau, through its sole proprietor, Ashok Kumar Bharti, S/o Vikram Bhagat, R/o Village-Bihra, P.O.-Chapikala, P.S.-Panki, District-Palamau Petitioner

-Versus-

1. Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (JBVNL), Ranchi, through its Director
2. General Manager, Project & Fund Management, Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (JBVNL), Ranchi
3. General Manager-cum-Chief Engineer, Electric Supply Area, JBVNL, Daltonganj
4. Electric Superintending Engineer, Electric Supply Circle, Daltonganj, Palamau

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Ms. Arya Gautam, Advocate

Order No.02

Date: 14.10.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is allowed to correct the description of the petitioner as 'Kanchan Enterprises, having its registered office at Bihra, Panchayat-Ratanpur, P.S.-Panki, District-Palamau, through its sole proprietor, Ashok Kumar Bharti'.
2. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the respondent No. 4 to make payment of admitted dues with respect to the work allotment Nos. ESE, D.ganj 194 dated 05.02.2020 & ESE, D.ganj 1341 dated 11.11.2016 along with suitable interest from the date it became due till the date of actual payment.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was awarded the aforesaid work of village

electrification under 10th Plan (left over IVRCL). The petitioner successfully executed the said work and completion certificate was also issued to that effect. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite successfully completing the work, an amount of Rs.28,62,042/- has not been paid to it. The petitioner represented the respondent No. 4 in this regard, however, the same remained unresponded, which compelled the petitioner to prefer the present writ petition.

4. Ms. Arya Gautam, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that the aforesaid claim of the petitioner is required to be examined by the competent authority. Hence, if the petitioner prefers a fresh representation on the present issue before the respondent No. 3, an appropriate decision on the said claim of the petitioner will be taken.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of the prayer made in the writ petition, without entering into the merit of the case, the petitioner is given liberty to prefer a fresh representation before the respondent No. 3 along with relevant documents towards its claim. On receipt of the said representation, the respondent no. 3, after verifying the relevant documents and on providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner's representative, shall take an

appropriate informed decision within six weeks from the date of filing of the said representation.

6. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with aforesaid liberty and direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

14.10.2026

Vikas/

Uploaded on 14.10.2026