Serial No. 06

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

SWP No. 412/2011

Date of pronouncement: 19.11.2026
Date of uploading : 19.11.2026

Kamlesh Manhas

.....Petitioner

Through :- Mr. Abhimanyu Sharma, Advocate

v/s

State & Ors. .....Respondents

Through :- Mr. P. D. Singh, Dy. AG

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
19.11.2026

1. After arguing for a while, Mr. Abhimanyu Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that insofar as the majority of the reliefs claimed in the instant petition are concerned, the same have been rendered infructuous with the efflux of time and also in the light of the latest developments which have taken place.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the writ petition survives only insofar as the claim of the petitioner to the extent of release of her legitimate salary for the period during which she has worked is concerned, in the light of the fact that the petitioner has not been paid her earned wages despite the fact that she has performed her duties. However, Mr. P. D. Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, submits that the said relief cannot be acceded to at this stage in the light of the latest developments,

particularly the fact that JAKFED has already been wound up and a Liquidator has been appointed for the purpose of releasing the outstanding dues and liquidating its assets and liabilities.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that insofar as the petitioner's claim for release of her legitimate salary is concerned, the same can be accorded due consideration, provided the petitioner establishes that she has worked during the period i.e., from September 2007 to February 2008 and from June 2009 to July 2010 by producing cogent evidence in this regard.

4. At this stage, Mr. Abhimanyu Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that he would feel satisfied in case the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to treat the writ petition as a representation and accord due consideration only to the extent of release of the petitioner's legitimate salary for the period during which she has performed her duties.

5. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is taken up for final disposal at this stage and the same is disposed of by directing the Liquidator, JAKFED, appointed by the Government, to take a decision with regard to the release of the petitioner's unpaid salary if any for the period during which she has worked as a 'Typist', within a period of four weeks from today.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to make available a copy of the writ petition alongwith annexures to the Liquidator, who shall accord due consideration to the same strictly in accordance with the rules and procedure prescribed under law.

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH

7. The petitioner shall also be at liberty to produce the relevant record before the Liquidator to substantiate her claim that she has performed her duties as a 'Typist' during the period in question but has not been paid her legitimate salary for the said period.

8. Let the aforesaid decision be taken within a period of four weeks from the date of this order and the decision taken in this regard be communicated to the petitioner through registered post.

9. Insofar as the other reliefs claimed in the writ petition are concerned, the same have been rendered infructuous and the writ petition stands dismissed to that extent.

10. ***Disposed of*** accordingly**.**

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

JAMMU
19.11.2026
Sneha

Whether the order is speaking: Yes/No
Whether the order is reportable: Yes/No

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
सत्यमेव जयते