

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL**  
**PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 183 & 184 of 2026**

**&**

**I.A. No. 677, 918 of 2026**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Amex Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**

**...Appellants**

**Versus**

**Ajit Kumar  
Erstwhile Resolution Professional  
of Eskay Knit (India) Ltd. & Ors.**

**...Respondents**

**Present:**

**For Appellants : Mr. Rishabh Shah, Advocate.**

**For Respondents : Mr. Atul Sharma and Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocates  
for R-1.**

**Ms. Prachi Johri and Mr. Sachin Jain, Advocates  
for R-2.**

**O R D E R**  
**(Hybrid Mode)**

**25.05.2026:** RP seeks time to file his reply. Learned counsel for the RP explains that earlier, during CIRP, the appellant had preferred a claim which was rejected by the RP, but the CIRP failed and the Corporate Debtor (CD) was ordered to be liquidated. In this scenario, the appellant got a second chance to prefer his claim. However, challenging the earlier Order, the appellant has approached this Tribunal.

**2.** Learned counsel for the liquidator submits that in another appeal, this Tribunal has set aside the appointment of the liquidator and has referred the matter back to the Adjudicating Authority, and a new liquidator has not yet been appointed. This implies the case, for the present, CD is in no-man's land. While RP's participation *ipso facto* is not required in this

appeal, inasmuch as he has been impleaded, he is required to file a status report and not a reply.

**3.** Learned counsel for the appellant insists on an interim Order. The same will be considered the same on the next posting.

List this appeal on **10<sup>th</sup> July, 2026.**

**Justice N Seshasayee  
Member (Judicial)**

**Arun Baroka  
Member (Technical)**

**Indevar Pandey  
Member (Technical)**

*rashmi/beena*