

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6322 of 2003**

=====
RAGUNATH LAXMINARAYAN DALMIA

Versus

VADODARA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION & ORS.
=====

Appearance:

MR BHARAT T RAO(697) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR. PARTH PATEL, ASSISTANT GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the Respondent(s) No. 3

NANAVATI & CO.(7105) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

RASHESH A PATEL(8802) for the Respondent(s) No. 2

VIJAYKUMAR G VANRAJ(8826) for the Respondent(s) No. 2
=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANAV TRIVEDI**

Date : 16/09/2026

ORAL ORDER

At the outset, learned advocate Mr. Bharat T. Rao appearing for the petitioner states that pursuant to the affidavit dated 07.07.2007 filed by respondent No.2 and in view of the recent affidavit filed by the same respondent i.e. respondent No.2 - Vadodara Municipal Corporation dated 23.03.2026, the cause as envisaged in the writ petition would not survive and the writ petition, therefore, has become infructuous.

In view of the statement made by learned advocate Mr. Rao for the petitioner, the petition is disposed of as withdrawn as having become infructuous.

Notice / Rule, if any, stands discharged.

(PRANAV TRIVEDI,J)

BIMAL