

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).33658/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-09-2026 in WP No. 7600/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

CHITRASEN NAGNATH GULAVE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

APNA SAHAKARI BANK LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 290195/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

WITH

Item No.56 SLP (C) No. 33921/2026 (IX)

IA No. 293458/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

WITH

SLP(C) No. 33889/2026 (IX)

FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT ON IA 292739/2026

FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES ON IA 294768/2026

FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 294769/2026

IA No. 292739/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 294769/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 294768/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 25-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Viraj Parikh, Adv.
Mr. Punit Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Priyansh Jain, Adv.
Mr. Shamant Satiya, Adv.
Mr. Sajal Jain, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Shyam Mehta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Charles De Souza, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Nikhil Rajani, Adv.
Mr. Rupak Sawangikar, Adv.
Ms. Pragati Gothi, Adv.
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.

Item No.56

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Punit Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Priyansh Jain, Adv.
Mr. Shamant Satiya, Adv.
Mr. Sajal Jain, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

1. When the matters were taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner-guarantor submitted that yesterday, the petitioner has put forward a proposal or rather, has requested the respondent no.1-Bank to consider granting him benefit of the policy of One-Time Settlement (OTS) scheme of the Bank.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.1-Bank would submit that he has no instructions in this regard. He would inquire into the matter and revert.
3. If the Bank wants to grant the benefit of OTS, subject to fulfillment of all conditions at the end of the petitioner, they may take an appropriate call, without being influenced in any manner that the present Special Leave Petition is pending before this Court.

4. List the Special Leave Petitions after two weeks.

(HARPREET KAUR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)