



ITEM NO.58

COURT NO.6

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s).16370/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30-04-2026 in CRLM No. 20708/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Patna]

YUVRAJ SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF BIHAR

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 263269/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 07-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mrs. Monika, Adv.
Mr. Hemant Sharma, Adv.
Dr. Siddhartha Priya Ashok, AOR
Ms. Nazish Fatima, Adv.
Ms. Babita, Adv.
Mr. C.P. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Samir Ali Khan, AOR
Mr. Kashif Khan, Adv.
Ms. Komal Vats Singh, Adv.
Mr. Pranjal Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made
the following
O R D E R

1. The petitioner has been denied anticipatory bail by the High Court in connection with FIR No. 5117063251028/2025 registered at Jakkanpur Police Station, District Patna for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 303(2), 338, 336(3), and 340(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (for short, "the BNS").

2. The allegation is that of conducting a fraudulent recruitment drive to Patna Metro, through the creation of a fake website on which the fraudulent recruitment was advertised. The recruitment is said to have been conducted by Urmila Education and Services Pvt. Ltd., the Directors of which are also arrayed as accused.

3. We note that the petitioner was not named in the FIR, and was implicated in the offence on the basis of a confession made by one of the co-accused, specifically one of the Directors of

Urmila Education and Services Pvt. Ltd.

4. We further note that the co-accused had been released on regular bail by this Court on 13.07.2026, considering the aspect that all the offences are Magistrate triable, and that the entire case is based on documentary evidence.

5. The order impugned herein was challenged by the learned Counsel for the petitioner on various grounds, including that the petitioner was not apprehended from the place of occurrence, that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents, and that he is willing to cooperate with the investigation.

6. On a *prima facie* consideration of the material on record, we are of the opinion that the petitioner can be released on anticipatory bail. We order that in the event of arrest of the petitioner in connection with the offence enumerated above, he shall be released on bail, subject to such terms and conditions that the Investigating Officer (for short, "I.O.") may deem fit to impose, on condition also of continued

cooperation in the investigation.

7. Once the petitioner is released on bail by the I.O., on directions issued by the I.O. or summons issued by the jurisdictional court, the petitioner shall appear before the jurisdictional court and furnish bail bonds and ensure due appearance in the trial.

8. With the aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

9. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)