

petitioner's response to the SCN was accorded any consideration. Merely stating that the written explanation is not satisfactory would not suffice. An adjudicating authority, while exercising a quasi-judicial function, is expected to deal with the specific issues urged before it, and merely stating that the explanation is not satisfactory cannot subserve the purpose of a valid adjudication.

3. In somewhat similar facts and circumstances, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in CWP No.16293 of 2024 decided on 25.05.2026, also set aside the adjudication order and remitted the matter to the concerned authority for fresh consideration.

4. Following the order passed in CWP No.16293 of 2024, as well as for the reasons recorded above, this petition succeeds and is **allowed**. The impugned orders are set aside, and the matter stands remitted to the adjudicating authority for fresh consideration of cause in accordance with law.

5. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE**

JULY 08, 2026

Rahul Joshi

1. Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
2. Whether Reportable	Yes/No