

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 1931 OF 2016

ATIYA TALATH (D) THROUGH LRS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SALAR JUNG MUSEUM

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. This appeal is against the judgment of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh in Second Appeal No. 939 of 2002 dated 18.08.2011. The appellant filed a suit for perpetual injunction to restrain the defendant from interfering with his property. The Trial Court by its judgment dated 21.12.2000 dismissed the suit. The appellant filed an appeal which came to be dismissed by order dated 30.09.2002. The appellant filed a Second Appeal which also came to be dismissed by the order impugned before us.

2. Heard Mr. A.D.N. Rao, learned senior counsel for the appellant(s). Having considered the matter in detail, we are of the opinion that the concurrent findings of fact by the Trial and Appellate Court have not given rise to any substantial question of law for the High Court to exercise its jurisdiction under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908. We are of the opinion that the High Court was justified in dismissing the Second Appeal. Civil Appeal is dismissed.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
KAPIL TANDON
Date: 2020.10.17
12:17:52 IST
Reason: I am the author of this
document and I have signed
it with my private key for
authentication.

As the present appeal arises out of a suit for bare injunction, the appellant(s) are at liberty to adopt such remedies

as may be permissible to them in law and they will be considered and disposed of on their own merits.

4. With these observations, the Civil Appeal stands dismissed.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

.....J.
[ATUL S. CHANDURKAR]

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 18, 2025

ITEM NO.122

COURT NO.7

SECTION XII-A

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Civil Appeal No(s). 1931/2016

ATIYA TALATH (D) THROUGH LRS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SALAR JUNG MUSEUM

Respondent(s)

Date : 18-09-2025 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR**

**For Appellant(s) : Mr. A D N Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Dubey, AOR
Ms. Rajshri A. Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Amit P. Shahi, Adv.
Ms. Agrimaa Singh, Adv.
Mrs. Rekha Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Anjan Datta, Adv.**

For Respondent(s) :

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1. The Civil Appeal is dismissed in terms of the Signed Order.**
- 2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.**

**(KAPIL TANDON)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS**

**(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

ITEM NO.122

COURT NO.7

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 1931/2016

ATIYA TALATH (D) THROUGH LRS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SALAR JUNG MUSEUM

Respondent(s)

Date : 18-09-2025 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

For Appellant(s) : Mr. A D N Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Dubey, AOR
Ms. Rajshri A. Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Amit P. Shahi, Adv.
Ms. Agrimaa Singh, Adv.
Mrs. Rekha Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Anjan Datta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Annam Venkatesh, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The Civil Appeal is dismissed in terms of the Signed Order.
2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAPIL TANDON)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI WASON)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed Order is placed on the file)