

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22013-22027/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 523/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 524/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 525/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 526/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 529/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 546/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 548/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 549/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 571/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 424/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 477/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 514/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 539/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 544/2026 27-05-2026 in DBSAW No. 538/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur]

D.B. CORP LTD. (DAINIK BHASKAR)

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY GAUTAM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 181314/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 18-06-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

(PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH)

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Amrita Sarkar , AOR
Mr. Rahul Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Kumar Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. The petitioner challenges the order dated 27.05.2026 and the challenge is confined to what has been set out in paragraph number 10 of the impugned order, which reads as under:

"At this stage, learned Senior counsel appearing for the appellants submits that the appellants may be allowed to raise objections, we are afraid that we cannot give such permission to the appellants as the aspect regarding computation of the amount is on the basis of the order, which has already been passed allowing the application moved under Section 33(c)(2) of the Act."

3. The learned counsel would urge that the High Court has felt the need and necessity for the Labour Court to determine the amount payable under Section 33(c)(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, and the determination is never on the details permissible to one of the parties. The petitioner has legitimate objections to the calculations furnished by the workmen. It should be given an ordinance.

4. We are not interfering with the substantive portion of the order impugned. We find it unnecessary to issue notice or stop the exercise directed by the High Court under the impugned order. However, to meet the ends of justice and also the requirement of Section 33(c) (2), we observe that the Labour Court furnishes a copy of the calculation filed by the workmen and the petitioner is given two weeks' time to file its objections, if any. Upon receipt of such objections, the matter is decided by the Labour Court, and the petitioner is permitted to file a letter before the Labour Court informing it of the order passed by this Court on the same date.

5. With these observations, these special leave petitions stand disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RASHI GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIKITA SINGH)
COURT MASTER (NSH)