November 11, 2026

To,

National Stock Exchange of India Ltd. Exchange Plaza Bldg. 5th Floor, Plot No.C-1 'G' Block, Near Wockhardt, Bandra Kurla Complex Mumbai 400 051 Symbol: DCW	**BSE Limited** Department of Corporate Services, 1st floor, New Trading Ring Rotunda Building, Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Mumbai - 400 001 Scrip Code: 500117

Sub: Intimation - Details of Litigation as required under Regulation 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 ("Listing Regulations")

Dear Sir(s)/Madam,

This is in continuation of our earlier letters dated August 14, 2023, February 27, 2024 and June 19, 2025, informing the pending litigations of the Company pursuant to Regulation 30 read with Para B of Part A of Schedule III of the Listing Regulations.

This update is being provided with respect to the Writ Appeal WA(MD) 1436/2025 filed by the Government of Tamil Nadu challenging the Madras High Court Madurai Bench Order dated February 26, 2024 passed in W.P(MD) No.11192 of 2017.

Accordingly, please find enclosed herewith update on the earlier disclosures as required under Regulation 30 Para B of Part A of Schedule III of the Listing Regulations read with SEBI Master Circular HO/49/14/14(7)2025-CFD-POD2/I/3762/2026 dated January 30, 2026, as "**Annexure I**".

The said information is also being made available on the website of the Company at www.dcwltd.com.

Kindly take the above information on your record

Thanking You,

Yours faithfully,

For **DCW Limited**

DILIP VISHNUBHAI DARJI
Digitally signed by DILIP VISHNUBHAI DARJI
Date: 2026.11.11 17:50:56 +05'30'

Dilip Darji
Sr. General Manager (Legal) & Company Secretary
Membership No. ACS-22527

DCW LTD.

Encl: A/a

Annexure I

Details required under Regulation 30 read with SEBI Master Circular HO/49/14/14(7)2025-CFD-POD2/I/3762/2026 dated January 30, 2026

The details of any change in the status and/ or any development in relation to such proceedings;	Consequent upon the order dated February 26, 2024, the Company had filed a fresh request with the Government, specifying the survey numbers of the lands sought to be allotted to the Company, and is awaiting a hearing in the matter. Subsequently, the Government had filed Writ Appeal WA(MD) 1436/2025 in the Madras High Court Madurai Bench seeking stay and challenging the High Court Order dated February 26, 2024. The Madras High Court (Madurai Bench) Division Bench by its Order dated June 13, 2025, directed as follows : (i) the Respondent (the Company) shall not be evicted till the disposal of the Writ Appeal; (ii) there shall be an interim stay. The Madras High Court Madurai Bench by its order dated November 03, 2026, which was uploaded on the Court's website on November 10, 2026 (the original signed physical copy of the order is awaited), has inter alia held as follows: (i) if the lands are resumed, certainly, it will have an adverse impact on the Company. The Company has thus shown that they would suffer detriment if the Government were to go back on their promise which in this case was precise and unambiguous. (ii) It is the Government that has to be blamed for having been inactive. The Government cannot thus take advantage of their own wrong. (iii) The Single Judge has in clear terms held that the Company must pay the market value for the lands as determined by the Government. The Company has to be put on notice and associated in the process of valuation. (iv)

DCW LTD.

	All the ingredients of the principle of proprietary estoppel stand fulfilled in this case. There is unequivocal promise on the part of the Government to assign/sell the lands. (v) Applying the test of unconscionability, the Bench came to the conclusion that permitting resumption of the leased lands will lead to an unjust result. (vi) The Bench endorsed the reasons assigned by the learned Judge. (vii) The Additional Advocate General (AAG) submitted that the Company is in possession of very large tracts of land in the vicinity and that the resumption will not impair their functioning. This ground was not set out in the impugned government Order (G.O.) (viii) A public order must speak for itself and that reasons cannot be supplemented during arguments. (ix) Viewed from any angle, the Bench found no merit in the Writ Appeal and accordingly dismissed the same.
In the case of litigation against key management personnel or its promoter or ultimate person in control, regularly provide details of any change in the status and/ or any development in relation to such proceedings;	Not Applicable
In the event of settlement of the proceedings, details of such settlement including - terms of the settlement, compensation/penalty paid (if any) and impact of such settlement on the financial position of the listed entity.	Not Applicable
Reason for Delay in Disclosure	The said order was intimated by the Plant to the Head Office on Tuesday, November 10, 2026. The delay in dissemination of the information was on account of November 10, 2026, being a Diwali holiday at the Company's Head Office, and the time required thereafter for reviewing the order and assessing and discussing its implications and impact on the Company.

DCW LTD.