

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.7

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SPetition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.15484/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-02-2026 in WA No. 503/2023 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

M/S. P.M. INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 131459/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 131457/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. AND IA No. 131461/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

Date : 08-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Javedur Rahman, AOR
Mr. Shivendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Saharul Alam Laskar, Adv.
Ms. Umang Motiyani, Adv.
Ms. Nandini Kaushik, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Exemption Applications are allowed.
2. Application seeking permission to file the lengthy list of dates is allowed.
3. Heard Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner.
4. This litigation has something to do with the allotment of land by the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board (for short, the "KIADB") to the extent of 163 acres of land in favor of the petitioner.

5. It appears from the materials on record that the State Government issued an Order dated 2nd June, 2010 directing KIADB to acquire and allot 163 acres of land in Survey No.25 of Chikkahalur village.

6. This allotment ultimately created a dispute between the petitioner and the respondents.

7. In such circumstances, the petitioner went before the High Court.

8. The High Court partly allowed the petition directing the KIADB to allot 50 acres of land to the petitioner out of 163 acres.

9. The operative part of the impugned order passed by the High Court reads thus:

"18. In the above view of the matter, we are of the opinion that the appeal is to succeed in part. Accordingly:-

(i) The appeal is allowed in part.

(ii) The judgment dated 16.03.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge in Writ Petition No.11510/2020, is set aside.

(iii) There will be a direction to the KIADB to allot 50 acres of land to the appellant on the basis of the Government Order dated 08.07.2019 on SUC basis as provided in the Government Order dated 02.06.2010.

(iv) The KIADB shall calculate the amount payable by the appellant for 50 acres of land on SUC basis taking note of the price of the land and the service charges and shall make a demand to that effect within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this judgment.

(v) The amount deposited by the appellant before this Court shall be adjusted against the demand so made. In case, any further amount is to be paid by the appellant, the same shall be paid within a period of two weeks thereafter, failing which, the appellant shall lose the benefit of these directions.

(vi) In case, such demand is met in full by the appellant, the land shall be allotted and the conditions as provided in the Government Orders dated 08.07.2019 and 02.06.2010 will be complied with by the appellant."

10. *Prima facie*, it appears on plain reading of the impugned Judgment and Order passed by the High Court and one of the letters

addressed by the petitioner itself dated 6-6-2019 (Annexure P25) that the petitioner made itself clear that they would be rest contented if 50 acres of land is allotted in their favor at the old rates.

11. The short point that falls for our consideration is whether the petitioner is entitled to claim the entire 163 acres of land or not.

12. We are informed by the learned Senior counsel that the KIADB has also preferred an SLP before this Court being dissatisfied with the very same impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court.

13. If the aforesaid be so, we should hear both the petitions together.

14. Let both the petitions be placed for hearing immediately on reopening.

(VISHAL ANAND)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)