

2026:PHHC:097038



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCN-2315-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.07.2026**

Aartech Solonics Limited Through Its Authorised Person
Vivek Attr

..... Petitioner

Versus

Project Officer of BID No.GEM/2025/B/6592003, 11 Armoured Regiment

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Dharm Chand Mittal, Senior Panel Counsel,
Union of India, for the respondent.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, alleging non-compliance of the order dated 20.03.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CWP No.8627 of 2026, wherein the following directions were issued:-

“(3) Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the aforesaid representation made on behalf of the petitioner, by passing speaking order(s) and after granting opportunity of

hearing to all affected parties within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(4) Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.”

2. Short reply by way of an affidavit dated 17.06.2026 of SS-48272X Maj. Chandra Deep Singh, Officiating OIC CCMC, Station Cell, Chandimandir, along with Annexures R-1 to R-3 are filed by learned counsel for the respondent in Court today. Same are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. In paragraph No.7 of the said affidavit dated 17.06.2026, the following averments have been made:-

“7. That it is also respectfully submitted that the concerned officer on being apprised about the orders passed by the Hon’ble Court to pass an order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, thus the petitioner was advised to attend the office HQ on 25.5.2026 and the petitioner was afforded an opportunity of hearing and after considering the entire material has passed an order dated 10.6.2026 in compliance of orders dated 20.3.2026 passed by this Hon’ble Court and the same have been communicated to the petitioner vide email communication dated 10.6.2026. A copy of order dated 10.6.2026 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-3.”

3. Today, at the first call of the case, petitioner-in-person appeared through Video Conferencing Mode and sought a pass over. However, when the matter is now taken up again, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

4. Be that as it may; in view of the stand taken by the respondents in the affidavit dated 17.06.2026 and the fact that an order dated 10.06.2026 (Annexure R-3 attached with the affidavit) has been passed by the competent

authority in compliance of the directions issued by this Court, vide order dated 20.03.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP No.8627 of 2026, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition, and the same is, accordingly, disposed of.

5. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 10.06.2026 (Annexure R-3 attached with the affidavit dated 17.06.2026), it shall be at liberty to avail its remedies against the said order, in accordance with law (if so advised).

6. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

16.07.2026*Apurva***(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No