

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
AT CHENNAI
(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

Company Appeal (AT) (CH) (Ins) No. 371/2026
IA Nos. 1056 & 1057/2026

In the matter of:

Refex Industries Ltd.

... Appellant

Vs

**Ebenazar Inbaraj,
Liquidator of Landmark Housing
Projects Chennai Pvt. Ltd.**

... Respondent

Present :

For Appellant : Mr. PH Arvindh Pandian, Senior Advocate
For Ms. Lakshana Viravalli, Ms. S Madhusmita,
Mr. Saurabh Bachhawat, Ms. Aishwarya Addka,
Advocates

For Respondent : Mr. S R Raghunath, Advocate
For Mr. B. Thilak Narayanan, For Caveator

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

03.08.2026:

We are satisfied with the reasons stated in para 3 & 4 of the IA No. 1057/2026, delay is condoned.

This appeal is preferred challenging an order of the Adjudicating Authority whereby it affirmed the proceedings of the liquidator rejecting the claim preferred by the Appellant.

The learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant submitted that the Adjudicating Authority, in para 7.5 & 7.6 of its order, states that the issue herein raised is only triable by a civil court, and having so held, it proceeds to hold that

the claim which the appellant alleges is not reflected in the books of accounts of the CD. The appellant has also brought to the notice of this Tribunal an earlier order of the Adjudicating Authority dated 24.02.2026, where he had required the liquidator to produce certain information before it, which according to the appellant, was not so produced.

Mr. S R Raghunath takes notice for the respondent. Given the scope of the appeal, we only require both sides to submit their respective pointed notes of submission as may be required for adjudicating this appeal.

List the matter on **13.08.2026**, under the caption, 'For Admission (Fresh Cases)'.

[Justice N. Seshasayee]
Member (Judicial)

[Jatindranath Swain]
Member (Technical)

DD/MS/AK