

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) (Ins.) No. 1887 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

Radhey Krishna Technobuild Pvt. Ltd.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Ashish Singh, Resolution Professional

...Respondent(s)

Present:

**For Appellant(s) : Mr. Pulkit Deora, Mr. Vinamra Kothari,
Advocates.**

**For Respondent(s) : Mr. Sumant Batra, Mr. Sarthak Bhandari, Ms.
Riya Kaur Arora, Advocates for R-1**

O R D E R
(Hybrid Mode)

07.10.2026: We have heard learned counsel for the Appellant as well as learned counsel for the Respondent No.1 and perused the record. At the outset, learned counsel for the Respondent submits that the SRA appears to be a proper and necessary party but has not been arrayed in the memo of the appeal, as such.

2. At this juncture, learned counsel for the Appellant submits that some reasonable time be given for the purpose of filing an amended memo of parties.

3. We notice that the SRA has not been impleaded while it is a proper and necessary party to this appeal. It appears to be an undisputed fact that plan has been approved. Thus, in our considered opinion, SRA is a proper and necessary party and therefore, is required to be impleaded as Respondent.

Cont'd.../

4. In view of this, we direct the Appellant to file Amended Memo of Parties arraying SRA as Respondent No.2 in the appeal. The Amended Memo of parties may be filed within three days from today.

5. The Respondents including newly impleaded Respondent No.2 i.e. SRA may file their reply within two weeks' with an advance copy to learned counsel for the Appellant, who in turn, if so wish, may also file rejoinder within a week thereafter.

6. List this appeal on **20.11.2026** under caption 'for admission (after notice)'.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Naresh Salecha]
Member (Technical)

Archana/km