

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 10956/2019  
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28-02-2019  
in CRP No. 586/2014 passed by the High Court of Kerala at  
Ernakulam]

GAIL (INDIA) LTD KKMBMPL PROJECT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

OMANAKUNJAMMA &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 29950/2024 (XI-B)

IA FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT ON IA  
289606/2024IA No. 289606/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENTSLP(C) No. 12903/2025 (XI-B)  
FOR ADMISSION

Date : 13-10-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Raghavendra P. Shankar, ASG  
Mr. Krishan Kumar- 1742, AOR  
Mr. Nitin Pal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Piyush Dwivedi- 2658, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We are not inclined to interfere on the legal issue  
qua the interpretation of Sections 5 and 29(2) of the  
Limitation Act, 1963. However, we specifically take  
note of the concern expressed by the learned A.S.G that  
the delay is being mechanically condoned for a project  
which concluded eight years back without even going into  
the issue of the extent of delay.

Taking note of the said submission, we direct the concerned Court that, while considering application for condonation of delay, the concerned Court should satisfy itself on the sufficiency of the reasons assigned, by way of a reasoned order, instead of mechanically condoning the delay by a one line order.

Accordingly, the Special Leave Petitions are disposed of, in the aforesaid terms.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POONAM VAID)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR