

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 10TH DAY OF JULY 2024

BEFORE

THE HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

REGULAR SECOND APPEAL NO. 2066 OF 2021

BETWEEN

1. RATHNAMMA
W/O LATE CHITTANNA,
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,
2. LAKSHMAMMA @ LAKSHMAKKA
D/O LATE CHITTANNA,
AGED 15 YEARS,
3. SAVITHA
D/O LATE CHITTANNA,
AGED 13 YEARS,
4. PAVITHRA
D/O LATE CHITTANNA,
AGED ABOUT 12 YEARS,

(APPELLANTS NO.2 TO 4 ARE MINORS
REPRESENTED BY MINOR GUARDIAN APPELLANT NO.1)

ALL ARE RESIDING AT
PADASALAHATTI VILLAGE,
HOSAKERE POST,

MIDIGESI HOBLI,
MADHUGIRI TALUK,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT-572216 ..APPELLANT/S

(By Sri.: GIRISH B BALADARE., ADVOCATE)

AND

THE SUPERINTENDENT ENGINEER,
BESCOM O AND M CIRCLE, ,
OLD KOTHITHOPU ROAD,
TUMAKURU
TUMAKURU DISTRICT- 572216 ...RESPONDENT/S

REGULAR SECOND APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 100
OF CPC AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED
01.06.2018 PASSED IN RA.NO.282/2017 ON THE FILE OF THE VI
ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TUMAKURU.
ALLOWING THE APPEAL AND FILED AGAINST THE JUDGMENT
AND DECREE DATED 30.05.2017 PASSED IN O.S.NO.415/2015
ON THE FILE OF THE II ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JDUGE AND JMFC,,
TUMAKURU.

This Regular Second Appeal coming on for Orders, this day
the Court made the following Order:-

....3

ORDER

In respect of the office objection regarding name of plaintiff No.2 in O.S. differs with R.A., the learned counsel for the appellants submits that there is a typographical error in mentioning the name of plaintiff No.2 as Lakshmakka in the Appellate Court, but it is correctly mentioned as Lakshamma in O.S. and R.S.A.

The submission of the learned counsel is accepted.

In respect of office objection regarding page No.3 not forthcoming in certified copy of award of R.A., the learned counsel submits that by mistake page No.4 is mentioned instead of page No.3.

The submission of the learned counsel is accepted.

This matter is listed for the eighth time for non-compliance of the office objections. Hence, two weeks time is granted to comply with the remaining office objections on payment of cost of Rs.2,000/- payable at the Registry.

....4

If the cost is not paid and the office objections are not complied within two weeks, the appeal shall stand dismissed without reference to the Bench.

Sd/-
JUDGE

NOTE : Vide Court Order dated 10.07.2024, office objections are not complied with, hence appeal shall stand dismissed.

COPY

Sd/-
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

T By: SR(PKS)
R By: VS
C By: RG

Ty Date: 11.09.2024
C Date: 11.09.2024