

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).33308-33309/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-05-2026 in FAO(OS)(COMM) No. 52/2025 26-05-2026 in REVP No. 256/2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

ILAIYARAAJA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAREGAMA INDIA LIMITED

Respondent(s)

IA No. 285209/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

Date : 01-10-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. C Aryama Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Swathi Sukumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Naveen Nagarjuna- 4152, AOR
Mr. Abhishek Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ritik Raghuwanshi, Adv.
Ms. Rishika Aggarwal, Adv.
Ms. Anshu Tulsyan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Akhil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ankur Sangal, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Arvind, Adv.
Mr. Mudit Tayal, Adv.
Mr. Swastik Sant, Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Choraria- 4250, AOR
Ms. Harshita Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Adheesh Nargolkar, Adv.
Ms. Sucheta Roy, Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Rao, Adv.
Ms. Devanshi Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Shankar Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Rajni Prakash, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. We heard Mr. C.A Sundaram, the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner and on the other hand, Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, the learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent.
2. We are of the view that no error, not to speak of any error of law could be said to have been committed by the High Court in passing the interlocutory order.
3. It is needless to clarify that the observations in the impugned judgment are *prima facie* and relevant only for the purpose of deciding the issue in question.
4. With the aforesaid, the Special Leave Petitions are dismissed.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)