

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3574-3592 Of 2024

**MANAGING DIRECTOR KARNATAKA
POWER CORPORATION LIMITED & ORS.**

APPELLANTS

VERSUS

K. KARIBASAMMA & ORS.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. These appeals are directed against the judgment and order dated 11.04.2019 passed by the High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad Bench, in several Writ Appeals¹.

2. While disposing of the aforesaid Writ Appeals, the Division Bench of the High Court observed as follows:

“18. In the above circumstances, these writ appeals succeed in part; the impugned judgment and order are modified to the following effect.

a) The condition stipulating 5 years at last paragraph of the aforesaid Resolution dated 30.06.2008 shall be treated as being non-existent and unenforceable against the appellants herein;

b) all the members of the land losers’ family although are entailed to be trained in terms of the Scheme, it is only one of such trained members who shall be considered for employment in the normative discretion and wisdom of the respondent KPCL regardless of consent/dissent from the rest of the members;

c) Where the land loser’s family consists of a sole member who is trained, he/she shall be considered for employment straightway in terms of the Scheme.”

3. Having heard Mr. Promod Nair, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellants and Mr. Charudatta Vijayrao Mahindrakar, learned counsel for the respondents, we see no reason to interfere with the

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
BORRA LM PALLI
Date: 2025.10.15
17:01:30 IST
Reason: 

¹ Writ Appeal Nos.100595-10059/2015 (S-RES) C/W Writ Appeal Nos.100658/2015; 100677-687/2015; 100478/2015’ and 100358/2016.

impugned order.

4. The Division Bench has not mandated that one member of each family of a land loser has to be employed; on the contrary, the mandate is to consider a trained member from each family for employment. The discretion has been left open for the appellants to consider which of those eligible members from a land losers' family, who have successfully completed the training, should be considered.

5. In such view of the matter, we dispose of these appeals reiterating that the claims of the respondents for employment shall be considered strictly in terms of the observations made by the Division Bench of the High Court, extracted supra.

6. Pending applications, if any, shall stand closed.

.....J.
[DIPANKAR DATTA]

.....J.
[SHEEL NAGU]

**NEW DELHI;
October 13, 2026**

ITEM NO.105

COURT NO.6

SECTION XII-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S).3574-3592/2024

**MANAGING DIRECTOR KARNATAKA POWER
CORPORATION LIMITED & ORS.**

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

K. KARIBASAMMA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

IA NO. 121229/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 13-10-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU**

**For Appellant(s) : Mr. Promod Nair, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sahil Tagotra, AOR
Ms. Shreya Kasera, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Pandey, Adv.**

**For Respondent(s): Mr. Charudatta Vijayrao
Mahindrakar- 2782, AOR**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

1. Civil Appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order, which is placed on file.
2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(B. LAKSHMI MANIKYA VALLI)
COURT MASTER (SH)**

**(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)**