

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.7730 OF 2026
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.73 OF 2025

VINA
ARVIND
KHADPE
Digitally
signed by
VINA
ARVIND
KHADPE
Date:
2026.10.07
15:30:44
+0530

Rajveer Knowledge Foundation
through Sushant Patil

... Applicant

In the matter between

Madhukar Genu Khondge and ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Rajesh Sahani for the Applicant / Original Respondent No.13.

Ms. Anita Chikane i/b. Mr. Amit Karkhanis for Respondent Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6,7,8,9 in CP No.73/2025.

Ms. Mamta S. Srivastava, AGP for Respondent – State.

Mr. S. A. Joshi for Respondent / Original Petitioners in CP

Mr. Rahul Gaikwad i/b. Ms. Komal Singh Graiutas Legal for Respondent No.12.

Mr. Sushant Patil – Applicant in-person present.

CORAM : M.M. SATHAYE, J.

DATE : 7 OCTOBER, 2026

P.C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties. Perused the Application.
2. The Application is filed by Respondent No.13 in the Writ Petition, seeking condonation of delay in filing the Application and cancellation of theailable warrant issued against the Applicant and its representative Mr. Sushant Patil.
3. The Applicant has also made prayer clause (d) seeking recall / modification of paragraph 2 of the order dated 02.04.2026 about

steps to be taken to reconvey the property in favour of the Petitioners.

4. So far as this prayer clause (d) is concerned, learned Counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 to 9 seek time to file a Reply. Let the Reply be filed before next date, with its copy served on the other side.

5. It appears that despite service upon the present Applicant, nobody appeared in the Contempt Petition and therefore, on 02.04.2026, bailable warrant was issued. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant states that the Applicant is present in the Court who is duly identified by him.

6. Considering that the Applicant is present in the Court and has also engaged an Advocate, the Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (c) thereby condoning the delay and canceling the bailable warrant issued against the Applicant and its representative, Mr. Sushant Patil.

7. The Applicant and its representative are hereby directed to remain present in the Court, if so required in future.

8. For consideration of prayer clause (d), stand over to 28.10.2026.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)