



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPMS/2857/2026</u> Abhinav Sharma --Petitioner Versus Public Information Officer --Respondent <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J</u> 1. Mr. Ajeet Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Ashish Joshi, learned counsel for the respondent-Bank. 3. Petitioner sought certain information from Public Information Officer, Punjab National Bank, Khatima Branch, District Udham Singh Nagar. According to petitioner, information, sought by the petitioner, was not supplied to him, therefore, he filed first appeal which was rejected. Thereafter, petitioner preferred second appeal, which is pending consideration before Centre Information Commissioner. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking the following reliefs:- (i) Issue a Writ, Order, or Direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding Respondent No. 1 to forthwith provide the complete, legible, certified copies of all documents signed, executed, or acknowledged by the Petitioner at the time of availing the credit limit (Account No. 06804011000974) under the Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana, as requested in the RTI) applications dated 24.09.2025 and 22.12.2025. Annexure No. 1 and 2 Page No. 12 to 18).



			(ii) Issue a Writ, Order, or Direction declaring that the rejection of the Petitioner's RTI applications and First Appeal solely on the ground of "non-attachment of identity proof is ultra vires Sections 6 and 7 of the RTI Act, 2005, and violates Articles 14 and 19(1)(a) of the Constitution of India. (iii) Issue a Writ, Order, or Direction to Respondent No. 3 (Central Information Commission) to expedite the hearing of the pending Second Appeal dated 11.07.2026 within a short, time-bound period, if this Hon'ble Court deems it appropriate to await the Commission's order. Annexure No. 5, Page No. 28 to 35. (iv) Award exemplary costs to the Petitioner for the illegal, arbitrary, and obstructive conduct of Respondents No. 1 and 2, causing severe professional and financial hardship. 4. This Court is not inclined to grant the relief as prayed for as the matter is pending before Centre Information Commissioner, which shall be decided, as per law. 5. The writ petition is, however, disposed of with a request to Centre Information Commissioner to make endeavour to decide the second appeal as early as possible. (Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J) 22.09.2026 Aswal
--	--	--	--