

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 21280/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-05-2026 in IA No. 8990/2026 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh Principal Seat at Jabalpur]

NARBADA PRASAD JHANWAR & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BANK OF BARODA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 174149/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 18-06-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

(PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jayprakash Bansilal Somani, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kumar Chowdhary, Adv.
Mr. Rajnish Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Ekta Verma, Adv.
Ms. Shruti Kriti, Adv.
Mr. Jeevan R. Patil, Adv.
Ms. Pooja Agarwal, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Brijesh Kumar Tamber, AOR
Mr. Vinay Singh Bist, Adv.
Mr. Yashu Rustagi, Adv.
Ms. Chanchala Tiwari, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Apropos the order dated 15.06.2026, Mr. Brijesh Kumar Tamber, learned AOR appears for respondent no.1/Bank of Baroda, and made a few submissions on how the present Special Leave Petition is not maintainable, both in law and on facts. He invites our attention to the communication dated 15.06.2026, addressed on behalf of the petitioner(s), not only to the counsel for the respondent-Bank but

also to the Bank. The letter states that this Court has stayed the impugned order dated 11.05.2026. The Record of Proceedings (ROP) of the Special Leave Petition discloses that the Court has merely enabled the petitioners to serve a copy of the Special Leave Petition on the respondent or its standing counsel.

2. The intimation in the letter, referred to above, is wrong, and we are compelled to deprecate. Mr. Jayprakash Bansilal Somani, learned counsel for the petitioners, tendered an unconditional apology and said that it was an unavoidable mistake. The said letter, discretion may not be denied.

3. We have perused the record and *prima facie* are of the view that no ground is made out warranting our interference under Article 136 of the Constitution of India. Having said so, we are of the view that considering the circumstances of the case, the petitioners are granted four more weeks from today to comply with the conditions imposed by the High Court.

4. With the above observations, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RASHI GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIKITA SINGH)
COURT MASTER (NSH)