

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2839 of 2026

1. Rohit Kumar Trehan, aged about 37 years, son of Jitendra Trehan, resident of 5, 5th Floor 2 BHK B Block, Shalimar Baag, Pundag, PO and PS Pundag, District Ranchi, State Jharkhand.
2. Anjani Kumar Singh, aged about 39 years, son of Shri Ramdayal Singh, resident of Kamanpura, Gopalganj, Bihar, At present residing at Shalimar Baag, Pundag, PO and PS Pundag, District Ranchi, State Jharkhand. Petitioners

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners	:-	Mr. Mahesh Tewari, Advocate
For the State	:-	Mr. Pankaj Kumar, PP; Mrs. Malsi Pathak, AC to PP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Bharat Kumar, Advocate

04/08.07.2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned counsel for the State and the learned counsel appearing for the informant.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Khunti P.S. Case No. 231 of 2025, for the offence registered under Sections 338, 336(3), 319(2), 318(4) of Bharatiya Nayaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the Court of learned C.J.M. at Khunti.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. It is alleged that the petitioners were inducing the informant and others to hand over the Aadhar Card, Pan Card and blank cheque for opening of the account and disbursement of loan by Samunnati Finance Private Limited having address Hi-Tech Park, 7th Floor, 129B, East Coast

Road, Thiruvanmiyur, Chennai, Tamilnadu-600041. He further submits that the said company has committed mischief and the petitioners are only employees of the said company. The said company is taking the money of the poor farmers. The petitioners have resigned from the said company on 31.3.2024. He further submits that the said company was not made accused rather the present petitioners who are only the employees of the said company have been made accused in this case.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State and the informant have jointly opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submit that there is direct allegation against the petitioners and others including Mahavir Oraon for inducing the informant and others to hand over the Aadhar Card, Pan Card and blank cheque for opening the account in the said company and disbursement of loan.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the informant further submits that the petitioners were earlier employees of Samunnati Finance Private Limited and now they are working in the FPO Bazar Private Limited. He next submits that the petitioners along with their associates obtained the documents of several persons. FPO Bazar got the loan approved from the Samunnati Finance Private Limited and after getting of the loan it is suspected that they have siphoned off more than Rs. 40 crores as contained in Annexure-B to the counter-affidavit. Another case has also been lodged being Chitrakoot PS Case No. 294 of 2025 with similar allegations in

Jaipur, Rajasthan. He also submits that several FIRs have been lodged throughout India like Gopal Ganj PS Case No. 5139036250890 of 2025 in Bihar by Nasima Khatoon and another Gopal Ganj PS Case No. 5139036250567 of 2025 by Md. Shakil Ahmad. He also submits that witnesses in the case diary have supported the allegation. On these grounds, he submits that prayer for anticipatory bail may be rejected.

6. In the FIR the petitioners are named. Allegations are made for calling several persons at one place and inducing them to hand over their Pan Card, Aadhar Card and blank cheque for opening the account in the Samunnati Finance Private Limited and further for disbursement of the loan. Allegations are further made that no amount has been disbursed in favour of the informant and others. Further notice has been received for making payment in the year 2025. It transpires that the petitioners have adopted the *modus operandi* of obtaining the documents of gullible people for the purpose of granting loan on behalf of Samunnati Finance Private Limited, thereafter using the said documents to obtain loan from the company. It also transpires from the letter contained in Annexure-E to the supplementary counter-affidavit filed by the informant that request for payment in the name of the suppliers was made by the petitioners in the name of the farmers using the documents and forged signature. The request was processed and verified by the petitioners and on their representation the finance

company disbursed the loan amount in the account of the alleged suppliers and due to that mischief a sum of Rs. 12.88 crores was credited in the account of Mahavir Oraon and Rs. 13.23 crores was credited in the account of Sonu Thakur, as per Annexure-F to the supplementary counter-affidavit.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, and hence, prayer for anticipatory bail are hereby rejected.

7. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is hereby dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-08.07.2026

Nibha/