

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 13762/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28-04-2026 in IA No. 02/2026 passed by the High Court of Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur]

KEY AND KA, PROPRIETORSHIP INSTITUTION & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KHUSHPAL SINGH GIL Respondent(s)

(IA No. 219516/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 219515/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 219514/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 30-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

For Petitioner(s): Dr. Rajesh Pandey, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Ayushi Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Swati Surbhi- 4295, AOR
Mr. Niranjana Marde, Adv.

For Respondent(s): Mr. Anand Shankar Jha- 2476, AOR
Mr. Abhilekh Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Mintri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. We have heard Dr. Rajesh Pandey, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner(s) and Mr. Anand Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the respondent.

2. Petitioners have been convicted by the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Raipur, Chhattisgarh for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the

Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. They have been sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay compensation of Rs.27,50,000/- with a default stipulation.

3. Appeal filed by the petitioners before the 9th Additional Sessions Judge, Raipur came to be dismissed vide the judgment dated 25.02.2026.

4. Aggrieved thereby, petitioners have preferred a revision petition before the High Court of Chhattisgarh (briefly 'the High Court' hereinafter).

5. By the impugned order dated 28.04.2026, the High Court directed that 20% of the cheque amount should be deposited within 15 days and the remaining 30% of the cheque amount should be deposited within 75 days. If such deposit was made, recovery of the remaining compensation amount would be stayed.

6. Against this order dated 28.04.2026, petitioners have filed the present Special Leave Petition.

7. Vide order dated 07.08.2026, this Court had issued notice, limited to exploring the possibility of settlement.

8. In the proceedings held on 15.09.2026, learned counsel for the respondent made a statement before the Court that if the petitioners can pay a sum of Rs.25,00,000/- only, he would not oppose the prayer of the petitioners. In such circumstances, we directed learned counsel for the petitioners to

obtain instructions.

9. Today, when the matter is called upon, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that despite his best efforts, he has not received any instructions from the petitioners.

10. Having regard to the limited notice issued by this Court and the failure of the petitioners to respond to the offer of settlement, we are of the view that no further order is called for in the present Special Leave Petition.

11. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ABHINAV KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(CHETNA BALOONI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)