GAHC010054512025

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/859/2025

NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD.
HAVING ITS REGISTERED AND HEAD OFFICE AT NEW INDIA ASSURANCE BUILDING, 87 MAHATMA GANDHI ROAD FORT, MUMBAI-400001, AND REGIONAL OFFICE AT GUWAHATI-7

VERSUS

BULU DEVI
W/O. LT. PUTUL CH. NATH.

2:SATYAJIT NATH
S/O. LT. PUTUL CH. NATH
ALL ARE R/O. VILL.- TOWN TELIAGAON
P/S. NAGAON SADAR
DIST. NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782141.

3:MINARA BEGUM
W/O. ABU SASAR
R/O. VILL.- LANGARIGURI
P/S. MOIRABARI
DIST. MORIGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782126.

4:SOFIKUL ALI
S/O. AKKASH ALI
R/O. VILL.- ISLAMPATTY
P/S. NAGAON SADAR
DIST. NAGAON
ASSAM

PIN-782141

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. M CHOUDHURY, S K BEGUM

Advocate for the Respondent : MR D MONDAL (R-1), MR. I K BURAGOHAIN(R-1),MR. C CHAKRAVARTY(R-1),MR. R HAZARIKA(R-1),MR. S SARMA(R-1)

Linked Case : RP(FAM.CT.)/0/0

NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO LTD
MAHATMA GANDHI ROAD FORT MUMBAI

VERSUS

BULU DEVI
VILLATE TOWN TELIAGAON NAGAON SADAR DISTRICT NAGAON ASSAM

2:SATYAJIT NATH
VILLATE TOWN TELIAGAON NAGAON SADAR DISTRICT NAGAON ASSAM

3:MINARA BEGUM
VILLAGE LANGARIGURI PS MOIRABARI DISTRICT MORIGAON ASSAM

4:SOFIKUL ALI
VILLAGE ISLAMPATTY PS NAGAON SADAR DISTRICT NAGAON ASSAM

Advocate for : MAMANI CHOUDHURY
Advocate for : appearing for BULU DEVI

BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

06.11.2026

1. Heard Ms. M Choudhury, the learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. D Mondal, the learned counsel for the OP Nos. 1 and 2.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in terms directions of the Lawazima Court, the applicant has taken steps for issuance of notice on the OP No. 3 on 06.10.2026. However, the same is not reflected in the office note. She, however, submits that since the connected appeal has been filed by the insurance company questioning the quantum of compensation awarded to the claimant, hence the presence of respondent Nos. 3 and 4 may not be necessary. Moreover, as the counsel of the claimant is not objecting to the prayer for condonation of delay of 30 days in preferring the connected appeal, she submits that the notice to OP Nos.3 and 4 may be waived.

3. Prayer is allowed.

4. The learned counsel for the claimant/ Opposite Party, Mr. D. Mondal submits that he does not have any objection if the delay of 30 days in preferring the connected appeal is condoned.

5. After considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for both sides and after going through the grounds stated in the instant application, this court is of considered opinion that the delay of 30 days in preferring the connected appeal has been sufficiently explained by the applicant.

6. As such, said delay is hereby condoned and this interlocutory application is allowed.

7. The Registry shall register the connected MAC Appeal and list the

same for admission after a week on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

8. The name of Mr. D. Mondal may be shown in the caused list as engaged counsel for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant