

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

CURATIVE PETITION (C) No.216 OF 2026

IN

REVIEW PETITION (C) No.1262 OF 2025

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) No.12082 OF 2024

LUDHIANA IMPROVEMENT TRUST

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

NAGINDER KAUR & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. The application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. We have gone through the Curative Petition and the relevant documents.
3. In our opinion, no case to entertain this Curative Petition is made out within the parameters indicated by this Court in the case of Rupa Ashok Hurra vs. Ashok Hurra & Anr., (2002) 4 SCC 388.
4. Hence, the Curative Petition is dismissed.

..... 2/-

5. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(VIKRAM NATH)

.....J.
(B.V. NAGARATHNA)

.....J.
(SANDEEP MEHTA)

NEW DELHI;
JULY 28, 2026.

ITEM NO.1010

SECTION IV-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CURATIVE PET(C) No.216/2026 in R.P.(C) No.1262/2025 in SLP(C) No. 12082/2024

LUDHIANA IMPROVEMENT TRUST

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NAGINDER KAUR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 264029/2025 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 28-07-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. The Curative Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
3. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)