

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 197 of 2025 &
I.A. No. 776, 777, 778 of 2025

IN THE MATTER OF:

Nisha Chandwani

...Appellant

Versus

Planet Builders & Anr.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Bishwajit Dubey, Mr. Kaustubh Rai & Mr. Akshit Awasthi, Advocates

For Respondents : Mr. Sumit Batra, Mr. Sarthak Bhandari & Ms. Riya Kaur Arora, Advocates for R-2
Mr. Aman Kacheria, Mr. rishabh Dhanuka, Ms. Mahima Shah, Mr. Zaki Ansari & Ms. Khushi Nandu, Advocates for SRA

With

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 2035 of 2024 &
I.A. No. 7638 of 2024 & 2890 of 2025

IN THE MATTER OF:

Mahesh Chandwani & Anr.

...Appellants

Versus

Arun Kapoor & Ors.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellants : Mr. Bishwajit Dubey, Mr. Kaustubh Rai & Mr. Akshit Awasthi, Advocates

For Respondents : Mr. Aman Kacheria, Mr. rishabh Dhanuka, Ms. Mahima Shah, Mr. Zaki Ansari & Ms. Khushi Nandu, Advocates for SRA

O R D E R
(Hybrid Mode)

21.07.2026

I.A. No. 7638 of 2024

1. The instant application has been moved by the Appellant requesting the condone the delay of 14 days in filing the appeal.

2. We have provided an opportunity to the Respondent to file any reply with regard to the delay condonation application filed by the Appellant. However, no reply has been filed yet.

3. We have earlier requested Learned Counsel for the RP in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 197 of 2025, to inform the CoC about the pendency of the proceedings before us and it has been informed that the CoC has been duly informed about the pendency of the instant proceedings.

4. Thus, it is evident that despite given opportunity no reply or objection so far as the prayer of the Appellant for condoning delay of 14 days in pursuance the appeal has been filed by any of the response.

5. Learned Counsel for the Appellant has drawn our attention towards the paragraph no. 4 to 8 of the application moved for condonation of delay and submits that it is due to the ill-advice received by the Appellant the recall application was filed before the Learned Adjudicating Authority and thereafter receiving the correct advice the instant appeal has been preferred.

6. It is further submitted that inadvertently in the delay condonation application the delay of 14 days has been written while in fact the delay of only 12 days has occurred in filing the appeal, which is within the condonable jurisdiction of this Appellate Tribunal i.e. of 15 days beyond 30 days.

7. Heard Learned Counsel for the Appellant, perused the record. We are of the considered view that the delay of 12 days which has occurred in preferring the appeal has been sufficiently explained by the Appellant, thus,

the aforesaid application filed by the Appellant for condoning delay is hereby allowed and the delay of 12 days which has occurred in filing the instant appeal is hereby condoned.

8. Accordingly, list these appeals on **27.07.2026**, in the first five cases of the cause list.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Naresh Salecha]
Member (Technical)

KS/MR