

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 3507 of 2012**

=====

VIBHABHAI RAMSINGBHAI KO. PATEL

Versus

INDIAN VEHICLE CARRIERS PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

NISHIT A BHALODI(9597) for the Appellant(s) No. 1

MR GC MAZMUDAR(1193) for the Defendant(s) No. 2

MR HG MAZMUDAR(1194) for the Defendant(s) No. 2

RULE UNSERVED for the Defendant(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J. C. DOSHI

Date : 23/09/2026

JUDGMENT

1. The present First Appeal, under Section 173 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, is preferred by the appellant – original claimant being aggrieved and dissatisfied with the judgment and award dated 11.7.2012 passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Ahmedabad Rural in Motor Accident Claim Petition No.1207 of 2004.

2. Since the factual aspects of the case involving the vehicle in the road accident and the liability of the owner as well as the insurer are not disputed by the Insurance Company, to streamline the consideration of the present appeal, I have adopted approach that avoids burdening the judgment with unnecessary narration, since the facts are already detailed in the record and there is no practical

purpose in restating the same. Likewise, re-evaluating the underlying findings would serve no useful purpose in the appeal. The efficiency of stating the facts and evaluating the evidence is entirely justified, since the core element remains undisputed. Thus, reiterating these settled matters would only clutter the final judicial analysis. Therefore, this Court can safely adopt the existing record without further review. This omission ensures a focused, clear, and efficient resolution of the remaining issue argued before the Court.

3. Learned advocate for the appellant - claimant has submitted that the Tribunal has committed an error in not properly calculating the amount of compensation. He has submitted that amount of award is on lower side as the Tribunal has not properly considered the various aspects; like pain, shock and suffering, transportation, special diet, medical expenses etc.

3.1 He has submitted that the compensation is required to be enhanced by modifying the award impugned accordingly and this appeal may be allowed.

4. *Per contra*, learned advocate for respondent – Insurance Company has submitted that the impugned judgment and award passed by the Tribunal is just and proper and therefore, he prays to dismiss the appeal.

5. Apt to note that the Motor Vehicle Act, 1988 is a beneficial piece of Legislation. The concept of just and fair

compensation is integral and seminal to the MV Act. The compensation to be awarded under the principle of just and fair compensation to the injured of the road accident or the legal representative/s of the deceased person is based on the principle of fairness, reasonableness and equability. Anguish of the heart or for mental turbulence being consequential result of the road accident cannot be actually compensated, but the quint essentiality lies in adopting holistic and pragmatic view to the computation of the compensation for the loss sustained, which is to be in the realm of realistic approximation. Although exact or perfect arithmetical calculation of compensation for reparation of the loss arrived from the road accident is almost impossible. The Tribunal is bestowed with duty to make an endeavour to award just compensation regardless of the amount claimed by the claimant. The determination of the quantum of compensation therefore, must be liberal and not niggardly since the law values life and limb in a free country in generous scale. Needless to state that money may be awarded, so that something tangible may be procured to reach something else of the like nature, which has been destroyed or lost, but money cannot renew physical frame that has been battered and shattered being a result of the road accident. Yet Tribunal to endeavour to bring back victim to stage of pre-road accident as far as possible. Thus, the award must be reasonable and cannot be assessed with moderation though it cannot at the same time be pity and what could be granted must be just, fair and equitable compensation.

6.1 I have considered the submissions made by the rival parties. I have perused the record and proceedings of the Tribunal. I have gone through the impugned judgment and award passed by the learned Tribunal. From the record, it transpires that the learned Tribunal has committed error in assessing and granting the compensation and the same is required to be enhanced suitably.

6.2 Therefore, total compensation would be as under, which the claimant/s is/are entitled to get.

Particulars	Amount (Rs.)
Future loss of income	6,45,120/-
Loss of amenities	50,000/-
Pain, shock and suffering	200,000/-
Medical expenses	30,000/-
Special diet, attendant charges, transportation	40,000/-
Artificial Limb	2,50,000/-
Total...	12,15,120/-
Less : Amount which is already awarded	7,79,000/-
Additional amount which is awarded	4,36,120/-

7. Therefore, I hold that the claimant are entitled to get the enhanced compensation of Rs.4,36,120/- with 7.5% p.a. interest from the date of filing the claim petition till its

realisation, which would meet the ends of justice.

8. For the reasons recorded above, the following order is passed.

8.1 The present appeal is **partly allowed**.

8.2 The Insurance Company is directed to deposit the enhanced amount Rs.4,36,120/- with 7.5% p.a. interest from the date of claim petition till its realization before the concerned Tribunal, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Rest of the direction(s) of the Tribunal remain same.

8.3 The Tribunal shall disburse the entire awarded amount lying in the FDR and/or with the Tribunal, with accrued interest thereon, if any, to the claimant, by account payee cheque / NEFT / RTGS, after proper verification and after following due procedure.

8.4 While making the payment, the Tribunal shall deduct the courts fees, if not paid, in accordance with rules/law.

8.5 Record and proceedings be sent back to the concerned Tribunal, forthwith.

SHEKHAR P. BARVE

(J. C. DOSHI,J)