Items-
16&104.

20-11-2026

Ct. 6

sg

CO 4000 of 2026

Dalhousie Holdings Limited
Versus
ITC Limited & Ors.

With

CO 3965 of 2026

Dalhousie Holdings Limited
Versus
Exide Industries Limited & Ors.

Mr. Anirban Ray, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Debrup Bhattacharyya
Mr. Subham Saha
Mr. Arjun Ray
Mr. Joyjeev Medhi
… for the petitioner

Mr. Ratnanko Banerjee, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jishnu Chowdhury, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Zufiqar Ali Hiquader
Mr. Yash Singha
Mr. Naman Chowdhury
Mr. Riddhaditya Ghosh
...for the oppose party no.1
In CO 4000 of 2026

Ms. Sulagna Mukherjee
Mr. Atri Roy Chowdhury
Ms. Sujatna Mukherjee
...for the oppose party no.14
In CO 4000 of 2026

Mr. Rupak Ghosh
Mr. Varun Kothari
Mr. Anirudh Goyal
...for the opposite party no.20
In CO 4000 of 2026

Mr. Rabindra Kumar Mitra
Mr. Atri Roy Chowdhury
Mr. Yash Singhi
…for the ITC in CO 4000 of 2026

Mr. Soumabho Ghose
Mr. Aman Khemka
Mr. A. Chakraborty
...for the oppose party no.18
In CO 4000 of 2026

Mr. Rabindra Mitra
Mr. Sidhartha Sharma
MR. Rishav Dutt
Ms. H. Upadhyay
...for the proforma opposite party no.21
In CO 4000 of 2026

Mr. Jishnu Chowdhury, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arik Banerjee
Mr. Abhidipto Tarafdar
Mr. Joydeb Ghorai
Mr. Sougata Banerjee
Mr. Diptesh Ghorai
...for the oppose party no.1

In CO 3965 of 2026

Mr. Deep Basak
Ms. Shyantee Datta
...for the Set Square Estates Pvt. Ltd.

CO 3965 of 2026 is at the instance of the plaintiff/opposite party no.1 in Misc. Case No. 599 of 2026 filed by the defendant no.10 and is directed against an order being No. 3 dated 30th September, 2026 passed by the learned District Judge, South 24 Parganas at Alipore in Misc. Case No. 599 of 2026 arising out of Title Suit No. 607 of 2026.

CO 4000 of 2026 is at the instance of the plaintiff/opposite party no.1 in Misc. Case No. 598 of 2026 filed by the defendant no.13 and is directed against an order being No. 3 dated 30th September, 2026 passed by the learned District Judge, South 24 Parganas at Alipore in Misc. Case No. 598 of 2026 arising out of Title Suit No. 607 of 2026.

Since the parties in these civil revisional applications are same and the civil revisional applications arose out of the same suit, both the civil revisional applications are taken up for analogous hearing as the issues involved therein are also same and identical.

The learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioner appearing through virtual mode submits that the petitioner filed applications on 29th September, 2026 in the aforesaid Miscellaneous cases seeking a direction for audio-video recording of the proceedings of the said misc case. He further submits that the learned District Judge, by an order dated September 30, 2026, fixed the hearing of the aforesaid misc. cases under section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure by keeping the aforesaid application filed by the petitioner pending.

Mr. Banerjee, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the 13th defendant/opposite party no.1 in CO 4000 of 2026 and opposite party no. 13 in CO 3965 of 2026 submits that the petitioner is trying to delay the hearing of the aforesaid misc. cases including other misc. cases by filing frivolous interlocutory applications. He further submits that this Court should direct the learned District Judge to hear out the misc. cases under section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure expeditiously.

Mr. Chowdhury, learned Senior Counsel appears for the 10th defendant/opposite party no.1 in CO 3965 of 2026 and opposite party no. 10 in CO 4000 of 2026. He submits that the petitioner is trying to delay the hearing of the misc. cases under section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure by filing frivolous applications.

After going through the orders dated 30th September, 2026 passed in Misc. Case No. 598 of 2026 and Misc. Case No.599 of 2026, this Court finds that the learned District Judge was of the view that the applications seeking relief of stay should be decided after affording opportunity to the plaintiff to file written objection. It further appears from the said order that the learned District Judge directed that the trial court records in correction with the Title Suit 607 of 2026 be called for for an effective hearing of the said misc cases with an observation that the same shall be retransmitted to the learned trial judge before 23rd November, 2026 i.e. a date fixed before the learned trial judge.

This Court further finds that 17th November, 2026 was fixed for hearing of the aforesaid misc. cases and for filing of the written objection against interlocutory

applications. However, the hearing fixed on 17/11/2026 was adjourned on the prayer of the petitioner.

At this stage Mr. Chowdhury submits that till date, written objection to the application for stay has not been filed.

Such submission is seriously opposed by the learned advocate for the petitioner.

In order to avoid unnecessary delay in hearing of the misc. cases pending before the learned District Judge, this Court enquired from the learned advocates for the opposite parties as to whether they have any objection against the prayer for audio-visual recording before the learned District Judge in connection with the aforesaid misc. cases.

The learned Senior Advocates appearing for the opposite parties, on instruction, submit that the opposite parties do not have any objection if such an arrangement can be made.

This Court is informed by the Registry that the virtual hearing facility is available before the leaned District Judge, South 24 Parganas and the same is also functional.

In view thereof, the application seeking audio-visual recording filed by the petitioner herein before the learned District Judge, South 24 Parganas in connection with aforesaid Misc. Cases stand disposed of by requesting the learned District Judge, South 24 Parganas to make an arrangement for physical as well as virtual hearing in connection with the aforesaid misc. cases on the dates that may be fixed for hearing of the Misc. case and other applications.

It is further made clear that this Court is not inclined

to interfere with the order impugned dated 30th September, 2026 passed in the aforesaid misc. cases.

The learned District Judge, South 24 Parganas is requested to make an endeavour to dispose of the said misc. cases along with interlocutory applications as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournments to either of the parties.

With the above observations and directions, these two civil revisional applications are disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)