



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPSS/2231/2026</u> Tejpal Singh Panwar and others --Petitioners Versus State of Uttarakhand and others --Respondents <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.</u> Mr. Vinod Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Anil K. Dabral, Deputy Advocate General and Mr. Prem Prakash Bhatt, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 3. Petitioners were initially engaged as daily wager between the year 2000 to 2002 for discharging duties as Electrician in Public Works Department and they were given status of work-charged employee in 2008. Grievance raised by petitioners is that juniors to them were regularized on different Group-D posts on 06.06.2014, however, petitioners' claim was not considered that time and they were regularized after May, 2018. 4. By means of this writ petition, petitioners have sought the following



			reliefs:- <i>“I. issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondents to consider and grant regularization to the petitioners with effect from 05.06.2014 (i.e., the date from which other similarly situated employees were regularized in the respondent department-Annexure No. 1, Page No. 12-19), instead of the regularization order dated 25.05.2018 (Annexure No. 7, Page No. 29-33).</i> <i>II. issue a writ, order, or direction in the nature of Mandamus, commanding the respondents to grant all consequential benefits to the petitioners, including but not limited to arrears of salary, seniority, and notional fixation of pay, flowing from their regularization with effect from 05.06.2014.”</i> 5. Learned State Counsel rightly submits that relief as claimed cannot be claimed by petitioners without approaching the competent authority by making the representation. 6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have jointly made representation to the competent authority. 7. The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to petitioners to make separate representation(s) to respondent no. 5. If petitioners make representation(s) highlighting their grievance, decision thereupon shall be taken by respondent no. 5, as per law, within four months of making such representation (Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.) 21.08.2026 Navin
--	--	--	--