



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPMB/732/2026 M/s Shri Ram Stone Crusher --Petitioner Versus State Of Uttarakhand and others --Respondents <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.</u> <u>Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.</u> Mr. Rajeev Bhatt, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. B.P.S. Mer, learned Standing Counsel for the State. 3. Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondent no.3. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties. 5. According to the petitioner, he has applied to the State Pollution Control Board for grant of consent to operate and establish stone crusher unit, but no decision has been taken on that application. 6. Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the Pollution Control Board, however, submits that the application was submitted by the petitioner through the Single Window Clearance System only on 18.06.2026. He would submit that the petitioner appears to be in a great hurry, therefore, he did not wait for a reasonable period before rushing to this Court.



			7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the Writ Petition is disposed of by directing the competent authority in the State Pollution Control Board to consider the petitioner's request in the light of Doon Valley Notification of the year 1989 and the relevant provisions of the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, and the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, after getting No Objection Certificates (NOCs) from the Agriculture and Horticulture Departments, and also from the persons who are residing within the periphery of one kilometer from the site where the stone crusher is proposed to be established. Such decision shall be taken within a period of six months from the date of production of certified copy of this order. (Siddhartha Sah, J.) (Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.) 08.09.2026 Shiv/
--	--	--	---