



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.1836 of 2026

Sudhanshu Sekhar Pattnaik **Petitioner**

Mr. D. Das, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**

Mr. Satyabrata Panda, ASC

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER

06.07.2026

Order No.

04.

1. Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.
2. Instant petition under Section 482 BNSS is filed for pre-arrest bail of the petitioner in connection with Mancheswar P.S. Case No.18 of 2015 corresponding to C.T. Case No.283 of 2015 pending in the file of learned S.D.J.M., Bhubaneswar on the grounds stated therein.
3. Perused the FIR as at Annexure-1. In fact, a complaint was filed and registered as ICC Case No.95 of 2015 and it was turned to C.T. Case No.283 of 2015 pursuant to the order of the learned court below. As to the allegations in the FIR, cheating is directed against the accused persons. A copy of the complaint/FIR is at Annexure-1 and the same is gone through. The petitioner, as according to Mr. Das, learned counsel appearing for him, is one of the Directors of M/s. Arohan Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. The submission is that the receipt of Rs.5 crore is by one Arakta Das, another accused and similarly



a Director of the said company and he is on bail by the order of the learned court below in B.A. No.27/85 of 2016 dated 10th March, 2016 and while claiming so, a copy of the said order is produced and referred to. It is submitted that the petitioner being a Director of the company in question has been arrayed as an accused along with others. A copy of the plaint in C.S. No.138 of 2014 filed along with an additional affidavit received and taken on record today is cited by Mr. Das, learned counsel to claim that in respect of the subject matter in dispute, it has been instituted by one Surendra Chemi against the company and also the informant to declare registered General Power of Attorney as void with such other reliefs sought for therein. The copy of the order in respect of the accused, namely, Arabdha Das is submitted to the Court and also that of the other accused, namely, Arakta Das are produced along with the additional affidavit.

4. Recorded the objection of the State referring to the FIR.

5. In fact, it is made to understand that there has been transfer of Rs.5 crore through RTGS. Considering the nature of dispute between the parties with a complaint initially filed and alleging involvement of the Directors including the petitioner and that the investigation is pending since 2015 as further informed, this Court considering the release of the co-accused persons named above, with the State's objection noted down, is inclined to direct the petitioner to surrender before the court of learned S.D.J.M., Bhubaneswar/court in seisin over the matter



for being released with suitable conditions even though not in favour of entertaining his bail plea.

6. Accordingly, it is ordered.

7. In the result, the ABLAPL is disposed of. It is directed that in the event, the petitioner surrenders before the learned S.D.J.M., Bhubaneswar/court in seisin over the matter in connection with Mancheswar P.S. Case No.18 of 2015 corresponding to C.T. Case No.283 of 2015 within a fortnight from today, he shall be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (rupees fifty thousand only) with one solvent surety for the like amount and such other terms and conditions as deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case besides the following, such as, to cooperate the IO in the investigation if it is pending till date and also not to influence any of the material witnesses connected to the case in any manner and whatsoever, while on bail.

8. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Sumitra