

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NO.13234/2026

[ARISING OUT OF SLP (C) NO.29614/2025]

SHANKAR NAYAK

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RIYAZ MANSOORI AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

1. RIYAZ MANSOORI; AND

2. THE NEW INDIA INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Leave granted.

3. The present appeal arises out of an order dated 15.04.2024 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Bench at Indore in Misc. Appeal No.3421/2022 by which the challenge made by the appellant-victim to the compensation awarded by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Indore (hereinafter referred to as the "MACT") resulted in an increase, but the same not being to the satisfaction of the appellant, the present appeal has been preferred.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the accident has left him in a position where his both hands and feet practically cannot be used for any purposes much less for earning of employment.

5. It was submitted that at the time of accident, the appellant was a manual labourer and was somehow managing to earn a living for his family but for the last 10 years he has been totally dependent on others.

6. Learned counsel for the appellant contended that the Court may take into consideration the fact that now the appellant being 60 years has still quite a few years left of his life and the entire sustenance for that period would be the money he would get as compensation as there is no other source of livelihood/income for the family.

7. Learned counsel for the Insurance Company submitted that the High Court has taken care of the condition of the appellant and thus, enhanced the compensation from Rs.2,89,600/- (Rupees Two Lakh Eighty Nine Thousand and Six Hundred) to Rs.10,54,880/- (Rupees Ten Lakh Fifty Four Thousand Eight Hundred and Eighty) which is sufficient in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

8. Learned counsel further submitted that the condition in which the appellant is would not require more compensation to be awarded in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

9. Though, under the various heads, we find that the High Court ought to have been more reasonable and practical while awarding the compensation, but for the purposes of convenience, we round off the figure without

going into the exact details of the computation of compensation under various heads. Accordingly, we find that the interest of justice would be served by awarding an amount of Rs.15,00,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Lakh) while maintaining the rate of interest at 7% per annum to be taken from the date of filing of the present appeal.

10. Ordered accordingly. The appellant be paid the amount as directed above, after adjusting whatever which may have been paid during the interregnum, within six weeks from today.

11. The appeal stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

.,J
[AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH]

.,J
[MANMOHAN]

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 29TH, 2026

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.29614/2025
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 15-04-2024
in MA No. 3421/2022 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at
Indore]

SHANKAR NAYAK

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RIYAZ MANSOORI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 230320/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 29-09-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Appellant(s) : Mr. N.K. Mody, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Ishita M. Puranik, Adv.
Ms. Aniya, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, AoR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Sunder Lal Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Asutosh Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Gunjan Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sanathana Bhaarith, Adv.
Mrs. Neeta, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar- 2611, AoR

O R D E R

Leave granted.

2. The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(KRITIKA TIWARI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

{signed order is placed on file}