IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70152 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011004162026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2023 Thana- PUNAURA District- Sitamarhi

==========

Kanhaiya Kumar @ Kanahiya Kumar S/o Ashok Chaudhary Resident Of Village - Panchhor, Ward No. 6, P.S - Riga, Dist. - Sitamarhi.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Suresh Prasad Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 20-11-2026 Heard Mr.Sanjay Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Suresh Prasad Singh, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 28.05.2025 in connection with Punaura P.S. Case No. 121 of 2023, F.I.R. dated 16.05.2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 406,420,409,467,468,469,471 r/w 34 of IPC.

3. Earlier the petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in Cr. Misc. No. 59279 of 2025 but the same was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 24.03.2026.

4. According to prosecution case, the petitioner is accused of being part of a larger conspiracy wherein, in

WEB COPY

collusion with dealers and other sales executives, forged documents were used to siphon off more than Rs.1.40 crore and a total of more than Rs. 4.56 crore from the non-banking financial company.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. In fact the petitioner was an employee in L & T Company and he has no role at all in the present occurrence. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the present case, the present matter has been compromised between the parties and accused persons are ready to make payment of Rs. 1,02,000,00/- (One Crore Two Lacs) and out of Rs. 1,02,000,00/- (One Crore Two Lacs), the petitioner's share is Rs. 25,50,000/-(Rs. Twenty Five Lacs Fifty Thousand) and petitioner has already deposited Rs. 25,50,000/-(Rs. Twenty Five Lacs Fifty Thousand) through three different demand drafts i.e. Cheque/D.D.No.057219 Rs. 10,00,000/- dated 16.03.2026, Cheque/D.D.No 057220 Rs. 5,00,000/- dated 17.03.2026 and Cheque/D.D.No 559934 Rs. 10,50,000/- dated 25.03.2026 respectively and he has also annexed the settlement agreement (Annexure-3). The police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is

WEB COPY

in custody since 28.05.2025.

6. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

7. Considering the aforesaid fact, the matter has been compromised between the parties and petitioner has already deposited Rs. 25,50,000/-(Rs. Twenty Five Lacs Fifty Thousand) through demand draft in favour of the L.& T. Company, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sitamarhi in connection with Punaura P.S. Case No. 121 of 2023, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move

WEB COPY

for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY