

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Transfer Petition(s) (Criminal) No(s).867/2026

SAUVIR MEHROTRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 245503/2026 - EX-PARTE STAY

WITH

T.P. (CrI.) No.884/2026

IA No. 249600/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 249599/2026 - STAY APPLICATION

T.P.(CrI.) No.888/2026 (XVI-A)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 251455/2026 - EX-PARTE STAY

Date : 25-08-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Himanshu Sharma, AOR
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.
Mrs. Aditi Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar Raghav, Adv.
Mr. Digvijay Singh Raghav, Adv.
Ms. Kamlesh, Adv.
Mrs. Kavita Deswal, Adv.
Ms. Richa Attrey, Adv.
Ms. Shivani Shidaye, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Khuswah, Adv.
Ms. Anjali, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vinay Kumar., Adv.
Mr. Varun Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Amit Singh Deswal, Adv.
Mr. Devender Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

The petitioner is an accused in Complaint Case Nos.419392/2025, 328686/2025 and NACT/6481/2025. He is facing charges under Section 223 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and Section 138 read with Section 142 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 in the complaint filed by the respondent - Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.

2. Ms. Kamlesh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner refers to Jurisdiction Clause vide Clause No.12.10 of the agreement which provides that “the Courts in the State where the Agreement is executed shall have exclusive jurisdiction in relation to this Agreement, the arbitration in all matters connected herewith and therewith...”.

3. Ms. Kamlesh, learned counsel for the petitioner further refers to the agreement signed between the parties and submits that initiation of criminal proceedings at various places across the country is an abuse of the process of the Court.

4. The Jurisdiction Clause vide Clause No.12.10 can be a ground for challenging the civil proceedings instituted at a place which is not the place where the agreement was executed or the arbitration or any other matter connected therewith had commenced. By virtue of Clause No.12.10, the jurisdiction of a criminal court is not excluded.

5. Transfer Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(NEHA GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)**

**(MAYA KUMARI)
COURT MASTER (SH)**