

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA
Contempt Petition No.786 of 2026
Date of decision: 10.07.2026

Yaato Retreat

....Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Agrawal & Ors.

....Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, J.

Whether approved for reporting ?¹

For the Petitioner:

Mr. Amrit, Advocate vice Mr. Sanjeev Sood, Advocate.

For the Respondents:

Mr. Balram Sharma, Deputy Solicitor General of India with Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. B.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No.3 & 4.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge(Oral):

Learned Deputy Solicitor General of India, has placed on record communication dated 15.05.2026, wherein it has been stated that the Committee examined the application against the criteria and mandatory requirements set out in Clause 7.3 of the Scheme and observed that in the absence of any budgetary allocation, the application could not be considered eligible for registration.

2. Therefore, in view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in the present contempt petition and

accordingly, the same is closed and disposed of. However, if the petitioner is still aggrieved, it is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy as available under the law.

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge

July 10, 2026
(reena)