



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPMS/2998/2026</u> Polycab India Limited --Petitioner Versus State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent <u>WPMS/2999/2026</u> <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J</u> 1. Mr. Pradeep Kumar Chauhan, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Devendra Pant, learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand. 3. Mr. Hari Mohan Bhatia, learned counsel for respondent no. 3. 4. Since common questions of law and fact are involved in both the petitions, therefore, they are being heard and decided together. However, for the sake of brevity and convenience, facts of WPMS No. 2998 of 2026 alone are being considered and discussed. 5. Petitioner has challenged the assessment order dated 30.01.2026 passed by Deputy Labour Commissioner, Haridwar in exercise of power under Section 5 of the Uttarakhand Building & Other Construction Workers' Welfare Act, 1996. It is contended that no notice or opportunity of hearing was given to petitioner before passing the impugned order. 6. By means of this writ petition, the reliefs, sought in the writ petition, are as follows:- 1. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 30.01.2026 (Annexure No. 4) passed by the



			Respondent NO. 2 (43-45) II. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondent No. 2 to refrain from taking any action of recovery action against the petitioner in pursuance to order dated 30.01.2026. 7. Learned counsel for the parties are unanimous that similar issue was dealt with by this Court in WPMS No. 1139 of 2026. 8. Accordingly, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of order dated 15.09.2026 passed in WPMS No. 1138 of 2026. Petitioner shall deposit 25% of the labour cess, as indicated in the recovery certificate within two weeks from today. 9. Subject to petitioner depositing the amount within stipulated time, no coercive action shall be taken against him, till final decision is taken by the Assessing Authority. The Competent Authority shall consider petitioner's recall application and pass appropriate order, as per law, as early as possible. 10. Mr. Hari Mohan Bhatia, learned counsel for respondent no. 3 assures that the amount, already deposited by petitioner in terms of impugned order, will be adjusted towards 25%, which petitioner is required to deposit in terms of this order. 11. Learned counsel for the petitioner assures that his client will not take any unnecessary adjournment before the Assessing Authority and he shall provide all cooperation in the matter. (Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J) 05.10.2026 Aswal
--	--	--	--