IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 6143 of 2026
(CNR: JHHC01-039469-2026)

Aniruddha Deepak Kannal @ Aniruddha Deepak Kanwal, son of Deepak Hanmantrao Kannal, resident of B003, Amrutha Sparkling Nest, Garudacharpalya, Bangalore North, P.O. and P.S. Mahadevapura, District-Bengaluru, State-Karnataka. ..... Petitioner

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand ..... Opp. Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Nishant Kr. Roy, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, P.P.

Order No.04 **Date: 26.11.2026**

1. The petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Korra P.S. Case No.24 of 2022 registered under Sections 406, 420, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. It has been alleged that Atria Power Corporation Private Limited, represented by the petitioner, had taken the premises of the informant on rent on the condition of payment of Rs.6,50,000/- per month. However, the arrears of the rent amounting to Rs.58,50,000/-, for the period from March, 2021 to November, 2021, has not been paid to the informant.

3. It is further submitted that a notice for termination of the lease agreement had already been given to the informant

by the aforesaid Company on 11th February, 2021. Thereafter, the said premises, which was being run as a Skill Development Training Institute, was not used. Even otherwise, as per Clause 3 of the lease agreement dated 4th December, 2018, an amount of Rs.39,00,000/- equivalent to six months' rent for the said premises, had already been paid to the informant at the time of execution of the lease agreement. The said statement has been made in paragraph no.6(d) of the present anticipatory bail application.

4. It is also submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, as has been stated in paragraph no.11 of the present anticipatory bail application. He also undertakes to co-operate in the ongoing investigation. Hence, he may be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

5. Learned P.P. opposes the petitioner's prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the materials available on record, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within three weeks from today, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hazaribagh in

connection with Korra P.S. Case No.24 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

26.11.2026
Rohit/
Uploaded on 26.11.2026