

GAHC010154102026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./2139/2026

DULAL BORO
S/O BISHUNU BORO, VILL LELA, P.S. DUDHNOI, DIST. GOALPARA, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S BORTHAKUR, SR. ADV., MR SAURADEEP DEY
Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV KUMAR SHARMA

ORDER

03.08.2026

Heard Mr. S. Borthakur, learned Senior assisted by Mr. S. Dey, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. K. Baishya, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, whereby the petitioner, namely, **Dulal Boro**, has prayed for granting pre-arrest bail, apprehending arrest in connection with **Goalpara P.S. Case No. 113/2026 under Section 143(3)/144(2)/61(2) of BNS, 2023 read with Section 3/4 of the**

Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.

3. The gist of accusation in this case is that on 29.06.2026, one Nabajyoti Dha and 11 others filed an FIR before the Officer-in-charge of Goalpara police station *inter alia* alleging that on coming to know that immoral activities are carried out in Rajmahal Hotel situated at Bapuji Nagar, Goalpara, a raid was made in the said hotel at about 11'O clock on 29.06.2026 and during that raid, boys and girls engaged in immoral activities in Room No. 101/102 and 103 of the said hotel were caught red handed.

4. It is submitted that by order dated 07.07.2026 in AB 1531/2026 co-accused, owner of the hotel, Habil Uddin Khan has been granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail which was subsequently made absolute by order dated 24.07.2026.

5. In the instant case the petitioner is the manager of the said hotel who was arrested on 30.06.2026 and now has been 35 days in custody.

6. Having regard to the above as well as the period of detention and the nature of the allegation, further detention of the petitioner may not be necessary.

Accordingly, it is provided that the petitioner, named above, in connection with above mentioned case, they shall be released on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.50,000/-** with a two suitable sureties of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the arresting authority.

The direction for bail is subject to the conditions that the petitioner:

- (a) Shall co-operate with the remaining investigation;

(b) Shall not hamper or tamper with the evidence of the case.

7. Accordingly, this bail application stands **allowed** and **disposed of**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant