



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-21276-2026

Date of decision : 15.07.2026

Sukhpreet Singh

....Petitioner

V/S

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. I.P.S. Deol, Advocate with
Mr. Yash Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rohit Ahuja, D.A.G., Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, who was employed through out-sourced agency, namely Vision Plus Security Control Pvt. Ltd., has filed the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to reinstate the petitioner back in service on the post held by him prior to his illegal termination/removal with all consequential benefits.

2. On receipt of advance copy of the petition, Ms. Shreya B. Sarin, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1, 3 & 4-PSPCL and submits that the petitioner has not disclosed the factum of being employed through the out-sourced agency, namely Vision Plus Security Control Pvt. Ltd., and even the said out-sourced agency has also not been made party-respondent in the instant petition.

3. Faced with this situation and having realising that the writ petition against the contractor/out-sourced agency is not maintainable in view of the law laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in ***Nishan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others : 2014 (11) RCR***



CWP-21276-2026

-2-

(Civil) 262, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to avail other legal remedies in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

15.07.2026

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No